Programmable molecular recognition based on the geometry of DNA nanostructures

Sungwook Woo^{1*} and Paul W. K. Rothemund^{1,2,3*}

Departments of ¹Bioengineering, ²Computer Science, and ³Computation & Neural Systems, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91125, USA * Correspondence: woo@dna.caltech.edu and pwkr@dna.caltech.edu * Correspondence: woo@dna.caltech.edu and pwkr@dna.caltech.edu * Correspondence: woo@dna.caltech.edu and pwkr@dna.caltech.edu

Table of Contents

Supplementary Note S1: Materials and methods

S1.1. Sample preparation

Individual origami structures that were not destined to be mixed with other structures were prepared by a protocol similar to that presented in earlier work. Single-stranded M13mp18 DNA (scaffold strand) was purchased from New England Biolabs (Catalog # N4040S) and staple strands were obtained unpurified from Integrated DNA Technologies in water at 150 µM each. Scaffold strand and staple strands for each design were mixed together to target concentrations of \sim 2 nM and \sim 75 nM, respectively, in 1× Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer with 12.5 mM magnesium acetate (TAE/Mg²⁺). The mixtures were kept at 90°C for 5 min and annealed from 90°C to 20°C with a constant rate of -1°C/min.

To create origami chains with multiple bonds based on binary sequences (as shown in Fig. 2c of the main text), constituent origami were first annealed separately from 90°C to 20°C. Next, corresponding quencher strand mixtures for each origami (those that matched the edge staples used, see Section S2.6) were added (at $10\times$ the edge staple concentration) to each origami mixture. Each of the solutions was kept at room temperature for 1 hr to ensure complete hybridization, and then they were mixed together, heated to 50 $^{\circ}$ C, kept for 12 hr at 50 $^{\circ}$ C, and then cooled to 20 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of -5 $^{\circ}$ C/hr.

For the origami chain (**A**-**B**-**C**-**D**) and dimers (**A**-**B**, **B**-**C**, **C**-**D**) with shape complementarity (as shown in Fig. 3c,d), each origami mixture (scaffold + corresponding staples) was annealed separately from 90 $^{\circ}$ C to 50 $^{\circ}$ C (with a rate of -1 $^{\circ}$ C/min), mixed together at 50 $^{\circ}$ C, and kept at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 hr, then cooled to 20°C at a rate of -5°C/hr. The mixing operation was performed inside a temperature-controlled chamber (Coy Laboratory Products Inc.), to maintain the temperature at 50°C while the samples were transferred between test tubes.

,(-(-Atomic force microscopy

Samples for AFM imaging were prepared by depositing 5 µl of the origami solution with 20 µl of TAE/Mg^{2+} buffer onto freshly-cleaved mica (Ted Pella). In most cases, clean buffer solution was deposited first and the origami solution was added on top of it. (For concentrated samples we felt this procedure minimized spatial variation in the density of origami on the mica.) In cases wherein we were concerned that this procedure might distort data (i.e. for thermodynamic data, section S3) we pre-diluted the origami solution by 5-fold, and then deposit 25 µl onto mica. AFM images were taken under TAE/ Mg^{2+} buffer in Tapping Mode with a Nanoscope III Multimode AFM (Veeco Metrology Group, now Bruker AXS). Typically, we used silicon nitride cantilevers with 2 nm radius silicon tips as AFM probes (the "short, fat" A cantilever on SNL probes from Veeco, now Brucker AFM Probes).

Supplementary Note S2: Design details

52.1. Design of binary codes for stacking bonds

S2.1.1. Design criteria for binary sequences

Figure S1. Issues in binary sequence design. (a) DNA sequence design must deal with the problem of undesired partial complementarity. A desired bond is at left, an undesired partial bond at right. Binary sequence design is analogous, as explained in the text. (b) A simple binary sequence that allows a full-strength, selfcomplementary incorrect bond; this sequence, while nonpalindromic, is not uniquely-orienting. (c) A binary sequence whose strongest partial bonds are only of strength 4; an example is shown at right.

The basic design criteria for binary sequences can be understood by analogy to criteria used for DNA sequence design (Fig. S1a). Consider a DNA strand with the sequence 5'-TAGCAGCAG-3'; it is fully complementary to (and hence would bind most strongly with) a strand bearing the sequence 5'- CTGCTGCTA-3' (Fig. S1a-left). However, the two strands also have a partially complementary subsequence of length five, and could bind (albeit more weakly) via this partial interaction (Fig. S1aright). In general, when DNA sequences are designed, they are designed to *minimize* such undesired interactions—with themselves, with their complements, and with any other strands that will be present in solution at the same time. Simple algorithms for designing sequences use discrete criteria based on the maximal number of base pairs that occur in any partially complementary species. For example, an algorithm might be designed to find sequences that minimize this number. Partial bonds having the same number of base pairs but different sequences are not equal in strength, and so more sophisticated algorithms minimize the sequence-dependent binding energy of undesired interactions. Still more sophisticated algorithms use such binding energies to maximize the probability that the desired interactions form by considering the thermodynamic partition function.

Here, because we do not yet have a complete energy model for stacking bonds, we take a simple approach based on counting (and minimizing) the number of active patches involved in the strongest partial bonds. For example, consider an origami with the binary sequence '111111100000'; with its complementary partner it would form a stacking bond of strength 7 (Fig. S1b-left), but when rotated it can also form a self-complementary, undesired interaction of strength 7 (Fig. S1b-right). In contrast, the sequence '100100110111' binds its complement (Fig. S1c, left) with a strength-7 bond, but the strongest possible partial bond that it can form has only strength 4 (Fig. S1c, right).

As for DNA, we are interested in minimizing such undesired interactions. For binary sequences of length *l* and number of active patches *p,* we wrote a program that enumerates sequences which have a maximum strength *i* for incorrect partial bonds (the mismatch constraint) with themselves and with their complements. Conceptually, the program compares each sequence to itself (and its complementary sequence) at all possible alignments, by "sliding" the sequences relative to each other; the number of matches for each alignment is simply counted and the sequence is discarded if the number of matches exceeds *i* for any alignment.

The set of sequences enumerated for a given (*p*,*i*) constituted a *candidate set* from which we later attempted to construct maximal orthogonal subsets for use in making origami chains (see Section S2.3). It turns out that for $p=7$, and $l=12$ or $l=16$ (the length of the sequence applicable to the regular and tall rectangles used in our study, respectively), the candidate sets are empty for mismatch constraints $i\leq 3$. That is, *however* we design a binary sequence with 7 active patches (for $l=12$ or $l=16$), such a sequence will have an undesired partial bond (with itself or its complement) involving at least 4 active patches. More generally, for all *p* there exists at least *i* for which candidate sequences can be found. As *i* is made larger, the size of the candidate set increases; this holds true for the size of the maximum orthogonal subsets as well. Thus there is a tradeoff between the mismatch constraint *i* (our heuristic surrogate for the experimental specificity) and the number of distinct sequences available as bond types. This can be seen in Table S1 of section 2.1.3. Note that the minimum possible *i* is 2, since any pair of active patches in a binary sequence belongs to a partially self-complementary subsequence with at least two active patches.

S2.1.2. Example binary sequences

For the 12-patch system with 7 active patches, a total of 98 different binary sequences were found to satisfy the mismatch constraint *i=4*; for the 16-patch system with $(p,i) = (7,4)$, a total of 4614 sequences were obtained. We give some examples from each candidate set below. Full candidate sets are available upon request (woo@dna.caltech.edu); alternatively, one can generate the sets easily using the program code (attached as a separate Supplementary file).

S2.1.3. Why use 7 active patches with a mismatch constraint of 4?

Our goal was to create the largest binary code that we could, with the largest number of distinct bond types, subject to the constraint that the bonds would have high specificity (that is, the rate of incorrect partial bond formation would be low.) We wrote a program to enumerate candidate sets for two different sequence lengths, a variety of different numbers of active patches, and mismatch constraints. We further used randomly seeded greedy search (see Section 2.3) to find the largest orthogonal subsets that we could for each candidate set. Table S1 summarizes our results. We found that choosing the parameters (*p*, *i*) to be (7,4), (8,5), or (9,6) with *l*=16 yielded orthogonal subsets with more than ten sequences, while still maintaining a reasonably large energetic difference between full-strength correct bonds and partial incorrect bonds.

Table S1. Size of candidate sets and the largest orthogonal subsets found as a function of sequence length, number of active patches, and mismatch constraint. Numbers in parentheses indicate the size of the largest orthogonal subset found (See Note S2.3). Shaded areas indicate the systems with 3-patch difference between fullstrength and partial bonds (corresponding to an equilibrium ratio of $e^{-3\Delta Gp/kT}$, where ΔG_p is the free energy of a bound active patch and is equal to 2 times ΔG_{st} , the free energy of a stacked helix). Blank spaces indicate that the search process was not performed for the corresponding parameters (because the result would either be meaningless $[i \geq p]$ or not useful, since either no candidate sequences would be found, or *i* was too close to *p* for bonds to be specific).

If one assumes the simplest model of binding energy for binary sequences (namely that the binding energy is linear in the number of active patches involved in a bond) then the energy of a full correct bond is $p \Delta G_p$ (where ΔG_p is the free energy of a bound active patch and is equal to 2 times ΔG_{st} , the free energy of a stacked helix), the energy of the strongest partial bond is $i\Delta G_p$ and the equilibrium ratio between the full correct bond and the strongest partial bond is: $e^{-(p-i)\Delta Gp/kT}$. A full treatment of the total error rate associated with a particular binary sequence would take into account not only the energy of the strongest partial bond, but also the number (multiplicity) of the different partial bonds having this energy, as well as the energies and multiplicities of all weaker partial bonds; such a treatment would calculate the full partition function for the system. Instead, here we simply assume that the multiplicity of the strongest partial bonds for different sequences is roughly the same. Given these assumptions then the equilibrium error rates for sequences from the three different systems— $(7,4)$, $(8,5)$, and $(9,6)$ —should be the same. However, because the fraction of correct bonds versus unbound origami should increase with increasing *p* it would make sense to choose sequences from the system with full bonds of higher strength, *i.e.* a (9,6) system.

To check our assumptions about error rates, we measured the error rates for sample sequences from the (7,4), (8,5), and (9,6) candidate sets for length 12 sequences. Experiments analogous to those shown in Fig. 2a in the main text were conducted; Fig. S2 shows representative AFM images for each sequence tested. 'L'-shaped labels on the origami made scoring correct head-to-tail bonds (L-L) easy; incorrect bonds included both bonds with rotated orientation and bonds with head-to-tail orientation that were misaligned. Surprisingly, the (7,4) sequence gave the best error rate, with the highest fraction of correct bonds out of total bonds—96.8% (N=344, for the sequence occurring in the bottom of Fig. S2a). The other systems performed considerably less well, with the (8,5) sequence having 77.7% correct bonds (N=358, Fig. S2b) and the (9,6) sequence having 52.7% correct bonds (N=277, Fig. S2c).

Figure S2. Comparison of sequence performance as a function of the number of active patches. A binary sequence and its complement are placed on opposite edges of an origami such that it should form long chains; each origami carries the label 'L'. Full-strength correct bonds are measured by counting the bonds with head-to-tail orientation (L-L). Partial bonds of all types are also counted; they usually involve origami bound in the rotated orientation. (a) One (7,4) system, '100100110111' (top) and another '010111100011' (bottom). Error rate data were taken for the bottom system; the top system is included to show a high-res image of a system of qualitatively similar error rate. (b) An (8,5) system, '100101011111'. (c) A (9,6) system, '110111001111'. Scale bars: 500 nm.

This surprising trend might not be a general phenomenon, since just a few sequences were examined, or it could be the case that our assumption about the multiplicity of partial bonds is wrong and that, for example, the (9,6) sequence observed just had many more partial bonds than the other systems, all of them having the strongest possible strength (*i*). However, given our thermodynamic experiments (Section S3) another possibility suggests itself: that ΔG_p is not constant as the number of active patches *p* increases and thus the total stacking bond energy is not linear in the number of active patches. In particular, if ΔG_p decreases with increasing *p* then our results make sense. Then the energy difference between a full correct bond and the strongest partial bond in the (9,6) system is not as large as the analogous energy difference for the $(8,5)$ system, which in turn is not as large as that for the $(7,4)$ system. Such a sublinearity in stacking bond energy might be explained by steric interference or electrostatic repulsion between active patches, or it might be explained by a nonlinear bending energy term that increases as the use of more active patches results in them being more spread out and requires them to overcome a large-scale deformation of the origami. Because of the trends we observed in our experiments using sequences with constant *p* and *i* (Section S3, ΔG_p seems to decrease as active patches are more spread-out along the

origami edge) we suspect the latter hypothesis is a more likely explanation. Clearly performance measurements for many more sequences should be made, but based on these preliminary experiments, we chose to explore (7,4) sequences in the context of a longer, 16-patch system.

S2.1.4. Error rates for binary sequences investigated in this study

For edges of the tall rectangle system (which has 16 total available patches), there are 4614 different binary sequences with (p, i) of (7.4), as shown in Table S1. Within the set of those binary sequences, subsets (codes) can be found for which every pair of sequences from the subset is the mutually orthogonal with the same matching criterion (no partial match between any pair of sequences involves more than 4 active patches). Our computer-aided search generated several codes of size 11 and 12; one code of size 12 and another code of size 11 were chosen for more detailed study. Each binary sequence from these codes was tested in the same way as in Fig. 2a, i.e., the binary sequence and its complement were placed on opposite edges of the tall rectangle, such that the rectangles form bonds in h2t orientation when the bonds are full-strength and correct. For each case, AFM data were analyzed and bond orientations were measured to obtain the ratio between the correct (h2t) bond orientation and the total number of bonds. The error rate measured for each binary sequence and an example of annotated AFM data from which such error rates are derived is shown in Table S2 below.

Table S2. Error rates for stacking bonds made using binary sequences from two different sets (sequences within each set are orthogonal) and an example of AFM image analysis. Each bond was labeled and counted based on its orientation. The blue circles over the AFM image indicate bonds with the correct head-to-tail orientation and the yellow circular arrow indicates a single bond with an incorrect rotated orientation. The percentage of correct bonds (with h2t orientation) out of the total number of bonds analyzed (N) was recorded for each binary sequence. The AFM image is for binary sequence #3 in set2. Scale bar: 200 nm.

S2.2. Design of shape codes for stacking bonds.

S2.2.1. Design criteria for shape sequences

Figure S3. Examples of shape sequences and their partial bonds. (a) A 4-patch shape sequence could form a 4 patch bond between distinct origami, but because it is fully self-complementary (b) it also allows full-strength undesired bonds. (c) Another shape sequence and its complement could form a 4-patch bond, but (d) it also allows partially self-complementary 3-patch bonds (3/4 the strength of a full bond). (e) A shape sequence and its complement that we used between the **A** and **B** origami. (f) Examples of partially self-complementary (homogeneous) bonds of strength 2 for the sequences in (e). (g) Examples of partial bonds of strength 1 between the two distinct origami (heterogeneous) for the sequences in (e).

As in the design of binary sequences, the goal of minimizing undesired bonds dictates design criteria for shape sequences. As before, fully self-complementary sequences (Fig. S3ab) or partially selfcomplementary sequences (Fig. 3cd) must be avoided (unless a homodimer of origami is desired.) Computer enumeration of candidate sets of sequences for shape codes is essentially similar to that for binary codes, with two important differences. First, unlike the case for DNA or binary sequences, *not all shape sequences encode physically distinct bonds*; we discuss this in the next section. Second, unlike the case for DNA or binary sequences, *the program must make an extra check for the self-complementarity of the shape sequence's complement*. For DNA or binary codes, to evaluate whether a sequence should be a candidate sequence, it is sufficient to check that sequences' self-complementarity, and to check for any partial complementarity that it might have with its complement. This is because for a DNA or binary sequence, any self-complementary subsequence that occurs in the sequence implies the existence of a corresponding self-complementary subsequence in the sequence's complement, and vice versa. This is not the case for shape sequences: the shape sequence '100001' has a strongest self-complementary partial bond of strength 2, but its complement '011110' has a strongest self-complementary partial bond of strength 4. We note also that the minimum possible mismatch constraint *i* for shape sequences is 2 patches (as it is for binary codes), but this limit holds for a different reason in the case of shape sequences than for binary sequences. The reason is that, for an arbitrary shape sequence, the first two or last two

patches both form self-complementary subsequences that can bind to themselves without steric hindrance from any of the other patches (if the two origami carrying them are in a rotated orientation). The top two and bottom two examples in Fig. S3f demonstrate this phenomenon.

Early on in the project we thought we might achieve a large number of specific bonds through the use of a long (*l*=6 or *l*=9) shape sequences. Fitting these high complexity sequences into the relatively small area of an origami necessitated using patches that were just 2 helices wide. These proved too flexible to prevent bent-patch bonds (see S.2.7.1) so we decided to use 4-helix wide patches to implement shape codes. This restricted the length of the shape sequences we could use to just 4 patches; similarly we restricted ourselves to just three depths to avoid long, flexible patches. Fortunately, even with these restrictions, the candidate set for the mismatch constrain *i*=2 had 16 elements, which we discuss next.

52.2.2. Full list of candidate shape sequences for the (4,3,2) system

Given the number of patches (*p*) and number of depths (*d*), our program searches the entire sequence space and examines the possible partial bonds for each shape sequence with itself, each sequence with its complement, and each complement with itself to see if they exceed the mismatch constraint (*i*). For (p,d,i) $= (4,3,2)$ the program generated a candidate set of 16 unique shape sequences, listed at left below. Note first, that if a sequence appears, its complement does not appear: our desire is to make a set of candidate sequences for distinct bond types and a sequence and its complement are *equivalent* with respect to the physical bond type that they encode. Similarly, sequences that are related by a simple *shift* in depth, such as '0010' and '1121' (Fig. S4ab), encode the same bond type and are thus equivalent. Only a single sequence from an equivalence class is included in the candidate set. Recall that due to stacking polarity, a shape sequence (e.g. Fig. S4a) and its reverse (Fig. S4e) are inequivalent, unless they are palindromic. (Shape sequences and their reverses are expected to be similar for some properties, e.g. flexibility.)

	List of the 16 shape sequences:	(a)	0 O
$\overline{2}$ 3	$'0010' (= '1121' = '1011' = '2122')$ 0020' 0021'	(b)	2
4 5 6	0100 0102 $'0110'$ (B-C bond) 0200'	(c)	equivalent ò
8 9 10	0201' '0211' $^{\circ}0220'$	(d)	2
11 12 13 14	'0221' ' 1020 ' (C-D bond) $^{\circ}1120'$	(e)	0 O
15	(1200) (= '2201', A-B bond) $^{\circ}1220'$		Figure S4. Equivalences between shape sequences. (a

 $(a), (b), (c),$ and (d) are all equivalent with respect to the bond type they encode. Vertical arrows denote stacking polarity. (a) and (b) are related by a simple shift in depth, (b) and (c) are complementary, (c) and (d) are related by a depth shift, and (a) and (d) are complementary. (e) is, however, distinct from the others because it is the reverse of (a), and has opposite stacking polarity.

 012

(Equivalences are not exhaustively listed.)

16 '2010'

S2.2.3. Orthogonality graph for the (4,3,2) candidate shape sequences

Using our computer program, one can check the orthogonality between any two sequences in the set of 16 shapes listed in the previous section. By applying the same mismatch constraint for the strongest partial bonds $(i = 2)$ between different sequences, the orthogonality relations can be determined for each pair of sequences (a total of 120 combinations). Fig. S5a shows the full orthogonality graph for all 16 shape sequences in the candidate set. Line segments between numbered circles indicate that the two shape sequences corresponding to the numbers are orthogonal to each other. (For the identity of each shape sequence, see list in the previous section.)

Sets of mutually orthogonal sequences correspond to *complete subgraphs* or *cliques* of the orthogonality graph. That is, a set of vertices for which *every* pair of vertices is connected by a line segment corresponds to a set of mutually orthogonal sequences. For example, one complete subgraph is the red triangle in Fig. S5b which corresponds to an orthogonal subset with three sequences, {6,12,14}. An exhaustive search confirmed that the size of the largest orthogonal subsets for the given system is 4 for example the subset indicated by the red subgraph in Fig. S5c: {3,6,7,16}. We attempted to construct origami chains based on a subset of size 4. Unfortunately, the set we chose included sequence 5, which, along with its reverse sequence 16, turned out to be susceptible to the formation of bent-patch bonds. In the interest of saving time and money, and because we had demonstrated that sequence 6 worked well, we ended up choosing the 3-sequence subset $\{6,12,14\}$ to explore as a shape code. The four sequence orthogonal subset {1,11,12,14} looks promising, but was not explored.

Figure S5. Orthogonality graph for the candidate set of 16 shape sequences. (a) The full graph. Each line connecting two numbered circles indicates that the two shape sequences are orthogonal. (b) Red triangle highlights an orthogonal set of size three with sequences 6, 12, and 14. (c) Red subgraph highlights an orthogonal set of size four with sequences 3, 6, 7, and 16.

S2.2.4. Size of shape sequence spaces with other parameters

In addition to the 4-patch system with $d=3$ and $i=2$, we explored other shape sequence spaces with different parameters, a couple of which were tested experimentally (some results are shown in Note S2.6.1). In the table below, we summarize the sizes of shape sequence spaces with various parameters (different numbers of patches, different numbers of depths, different numbers of patches allowed in incorrect bonds). In the right-hand column we report the size of the largest orthogonal subset discovered over the course of multiple random searches, as described in Note S2.3.

For parameters not included in this table, one can easily obtain the shape sequence space – not only the size but the entire list of candidate shape sequences – using the program code provided as a separate Supplementary file.

Table S3. Sizes of shape sequence spaces and orthogonal subsets for different systems. The purple-shaded parameters are those for the shape sequences most explored by this study.

S2.3. Finding codes: searching for large orthogonal sets of sequences.

So far we have largely discussed, for both binary sequences and shape sequences, the symmetry and mismatch constraints that are required of a sequence for it to be useful as an individual stacking bond in isolation — constraints such that, with high probability, the sequence will bind its complement by a full correct bond rather than binding to itself or binding its complement by a partial bond. Given a set of parameters including the length of the sequence, number of active patches, the number of depths (if appropriate), and a mismatch constraint, we have shown that it is straightforward to enumerate all sequences that individually satisfy the constraints. Our two most studied examples are the 4614 binary sequences for $(p,i) = (7,4)$ and the 16 shape sequences for $(p,d,i) = (4,3,2)$. In order for such candidate sequences to be used in a multiple-bond system, one must find a subset that is orthogonal — that is, all pairs of sequences must satisfy the mismatch constraint. A diagram of the orthogonality relation between all 16 sequences in the (4,3,2) shape sequence candidate set, and example orthogonal subsets are discussed and diagrammed in Section S2.2.3.

An important goal is to find the *maximal* orthogonal subset of a candidate set, to find a code of sequences that can support the largest diversity of stacking bond types. For candidate sets containing relatively few sequences, such as the $(4,3,2)$ shape sequences, an exhaustive search through all possible orthogonal subsets is possible. But for bigger candidate sets, the combinatorially large number of subsets makes finding the maximal orthogonal subset by exhaustive search a computationally intractable task.

More specifically, the problem of finding the maximal orthogonal subset is a trivial rephrasing of the well-known *Max Clique* problem in computer science. Max Clique is known to be NP-hard, and here two facts about NP-hardness are relevant: (1) Most computer scientists believe that NP-hard problems can only be solved exactly using an amount of time that is an *exponential* function of the size of the problem — this is what is meant by "computationally intractable". (2) NP-hard problems can be approximated thus while it might be computationally intractable to find the maximal orthogonal subset, it may be possible to find large subsets, which are close in size to the maximal orthogonal subset, quickly.

There is a large literature on approximating NP-hard problems, but we did not take advantage of such approximation techniques here. Instead, to quickly get large orthogonal subsets that we could use for multiple stacking bonds, we implemented a simple, randomly seeded, greedy search procedure. For many of the smaller candidate sets, the orthogonal sets we obtain are probably maximal; in the case of the (4,3,2) shape code system we verified that this was the case. For larger candidate sets it is highly likely that the orthogonal sets we have obtained are not maximal; it might be possible that there exist 13-sequence orthogonal sets for the (7,4) binary code system. For the moment, the orthogonal sets that we have found are satisfactory since we obtained orthogonal sets whose size roughly matches the maximum number of origami that we can handle easily, or can afford in the lab. However, if the need should arise, e.g. for much larger codes, many relatively fast algorithms for finding large cliques (and hence finding large orthogonal subsets) are available. One example is *Cliquer,* a set of C routines that are available for download from: http://users.tkk.fi/pat/cliquer.html

Our program for discovering large orthogonal subsets constructs them in a "greedy" fashion starting from single sequences from the candidate set. Let the size of the candidate set be *N*. Each run of our program constructs *N* different orthogonal subsets, by sequentially using each one of the elements of the candidate set as a seed for a different orthogonal subset. The details of our program are as follows:

(1) The program first picks one candidate sequence as a seed; that candidate sequence becomes the first element of the orthogonal set under construction.

(2) The program randomly picks another sequence from the candidate set and checks its orthogonality with the existing element(s), with respect to the mismatch constraint *i*.

(3) If the newly picked sequence is orthogonal to the existing element(s), it is added to the set; otherwise it is discarded.

(4) The program repeats steps (2) and (3) until all candidate sequences have been tested for the orthogonality with the growing set. After all candidates have been tested, the orthogonal set is output.

(5) The program repeats steps (1) through (4) until all candidate sequences have been used as a seed for an orthogonal set.

Since the construction of an orthogonal set is sensitive to the order of addition of candidate sequences (a different order results in a different set), each run of the program results in *N* potentially distinct orthogonal sets. Typically, we ran the program multiple times; we did not keep track of the number of runs performed. The largest orthogonal subsets found are recorded in Table S1 (for binary sequences) and Table S3 (for shape sequences).

52.4. Design of the origami structures

Design of the rectangle systems (regular and tall) was performed based on the procedure described in the original DNA origami paper, using MATLAB code. Origami with edge shapes (**A**, **B**, **C**, and **D** origami) were designed using a modified version of the "square lattice" version of caDNAno. caDNAno software was customized to allow (1) the creation of single stranded loopouts in the scaffold strand and (2) the highlighting of the scaffold strand with a user-specified sequence (e.g. 'GC'). Both features were added to facilitate the process of shifting the scaffold strand and aligning 'GC' on the edges. In our modified version of caDNAno, the 'loop tool' which is normally used to generate double-stranded loops (loops involving both the scaffold and staple strands) has been changed to a tool that creates singlestranded loops only in the scaffold strand. The highlighting feature has been integrated into caDNAno's existing 'add sequence' function, with which a user can select the scaffold sequence to use; now a user can specify a sequence to highlight using the same dialog box (Fig. S6c). Color is fixed for each highlighted base: G-Green, C-Cyan (light blue), A-Amber (roughly orange), T-Tomato (red). The length limit for a highlighted sequence is set to be the same as caDNAno's existing length limit for the scaffold sequence (20,000), so a user can highlight the entire scaffold sequence if desired. A few other minor modifications include: (1) skipping the step of waiting for the user to click on the 5' end of the scaffold during an 'add sequence' operation if there is only one 5' scaffold end in the design, and (2) updating the scaffold and staple sequences automatically after creating a new loopout (this automatic update works only after the scaffold sequence has been defined). The modified version caDNAnoSQ_SW is available as a separate Supplementary file (or by request to woo@dna.caltech.edu for latest version). For general instructions and the original version of caDNAno, visit http://www.cadnano.org.

Figure S6. Screenshots of a version of caDNAno modified to allow placement of 'GC' on origami edges. All occurrences of the sequence 'GC' are highlighted along the scaffold strand in the diagram by green and cyan for G and C, respectively. (a) One can count the number of bases from a blunt-end on the edge to the next occurrence of 'GC' and (b) make a single-stranded loopout inside the structure to *shift* the scaffold sequences. In the example design shown, the scaffold strand has been already aligned to have 'GC' along the edge [as shown in the zoom-in at (a)]. (c) Here we have shown highlighting of the sequence 'GC'. One can enter any sequence to highlight at the step where user selects the scaffold strand.

52.5. Edge structure

Because accurate models (backed by high resolution structural data) of origami edges do not exist, it is difficult to predict the exact structure and stacking configurations of the blunt-ends on the edges of origami. Here we provide gross predictions based on the distance of the blunt-ends from the nearest internal crossovers and the pattern of crossovers along the edge. We predict structures for three different edge models: (1) a *crossover-free edge* (Fig. S7a), (2) a *relaxed edge* with only scaffold crossovers (Fig. S7b), and (3) a *stressed edge* with both scaffold and staple crossovers (Fig. S7c). These predicted structures in turn make predictions about the expected strength and behavior of the stacking bonds.

For all three models we are interested in the helical twist of the base pairs on the blunt-ends at the edge, and for all three models we posit an internal crossover 16 base pairs interior to the edge. Here, we draw bars, separated by the major/minor groove angles, on the face of the blunt ends to indicate the helical twist of the base pair. To derive the orientation of these bars, we begin at the interior crossover and consider the strand that is "edgeward" of the crossover (e.g. the orange 3D strand in Fig. S7a). We model the two base pairs next to the crossover point as staggered up and down with respect to the midpoint of the crossover, having a helical twist angle that is rotated from the midpoint by $\frac{1}{2}$ of the characteristic rotation/bp of B-DNA $(\sim]34.6^{\circ}$ given 10.4 bp/turn) — that is approximately 17°. (Similar modeling is performed in Ref. 1.) From these "first edgeward base pairs" the base pairs at the blunt end are 15 basepairs away. Thus the blunt end base pairs have a helical twist angle that is rotated \sim 519° (15 \times 34.6°) relative to the bases of the edgeward strand in the crossover (in a clockwise direction when viewed from the blunt-ends towards the crossover) for a total of \sim 537° from the crossover midpoint. Given such a model, which is crossover-free at the edge, the base pairs at the blunt-end would be oriented like those depicted in Fig. S7a. We note that while we do not make such a structure in this work, origami with very similar crossover-free edges have been made before (Ref. 10 of the main text) using "tile adapters", and so such structures can be experimentally synthesized.

Now consider a second origami with the same crossover-free edge structure, but with 15 base pairs between the edge and the crossover. When such an origami binds via a stacking bond to the origami described above, then the total number of base pairs between the first internal crossover points of the two origami will be $15+16 = 31$, or roughly 3 helical turns. This means that for such crossover-free origami, the blunt-ends on opposite sides of the stacking bond are oriented with a relative twist angle of ~34.6° (as depicted in Fig. S7d). Thus we would expect stacking of blunt ends between crossover free edges to be native B-form stacking, and that it should be relatively strong.

Next consider our second edge structure, the relaxed edges (Fig. S7b), for which scaffold crossovers connect every other pair of helices. This is the edge structure that we use in all our work on stacking bonds, (except for structures pictured in Fig. 1e of the main text and Fig. S14j-o). Because the scaffold crossovers act to pull the base pairs away from the helical twist angle that they would assume in a crossover-free edge, whatever structure forms at relaxed edges cannot be B-form DNA. However, because DNA can tolerate small deviations from B-form twist, we propose that the helices assume an amount of twist strain (roughly 34.6°, which is averaged over the 16 base pairs up to the crossover) and maintain native major/minor groove angles between the bases at the blunt end (as depicted in Fig. S7b).

Given our model for relaxed edges, when two origami with relaxed edges bind via a stacking bond, their blunt ends will not be able to stack via B-form stacking; rather, they should bind with slightly different relative twist angles that are within approximately $\pm 34.6^{\circ}$ of the natural twist angle in B-DNA (Fig. S7e). We call such stacking between relaxed edges *near-B-form stacking,* which we predict would be roughly as strong as B-form stacking. Since relaxed edges have a top-bottom asymmetry that is defined by the major and minor grooves, near-B-form stacking can only occur when two origami bind in either the head-to-tail or rotated orientations. This prediction agrees well with the distribution of observed bond orientations, as discussed in the main text.

Finally, we consider the case of stressed edges. When staple crossovers are placed in opposition to scaffold crossovers along an edge, we propose that the balancing of the stresses they induce results in a near-flattening of the major and minor grooves (Fig. S7c). The resulting decrease in distinction between the major and minor grooves should decrease the distinction between the top and bottom of the origami. Therefore, we would predict that blunt-end stacking between such stressed edges (Fig. S7f) should allow flipped bond orientations; this is indeed what is observed in experiments involving origami with stressed edges, such as those shown in Fig. 1e of the main text and Fig. S14j-o.

Figure S7. Comparative modeling of three different origami edge structures. (a) *Crossover-free* edges. (b) *Relaxed edges* with only scaffold crossovers. (c) *Stressed edges* with both scaffold and staple crossovers. Each circle indicates a blunt-end. In (a), both the black and colored bars (inside the circles) indicate the helical twist of bases belonging to *tile adapter strands*. In general, tile adapter strands are strands that extend from the edge of an origami to give it a geometry that is not possible using the canonical scaffold/staple geometry. Here, our intent is that the tile adapter strands create a crossover-free edge; we do not show the details and did not use them in our stacking experiments. He we use them as part of a "thought experiment" concerning the geometry of stacking bonds, but we note that tile adapter strands have been used to create origami with a very similar crossover-free edges (Ref. 10 in main text). In (b) and (c), black bars indicate the helical twist of bases from the scaffold strand, and colored bars indicate the helical twist of bases from the staple strands. Bars of the same color indicate the same strand, e.g., the orange staple in (a) runs for 1.5 helical turns in one helix, switches between helices at a 16-bp-deep internal crossover, and runs back for a length of 1.5 helical turns in the adjacent helix, as depicted by the 3D drawing. Black dotted arrows indicate crossovers at the edge. In all three models colored strands are intended to make 16-bp-deep internal crossovers. The models in (a-c), predict that the blunt ends on the edge are either B-form (a), near-B-form (b), or have disrupted base pairs that are incompatible with B-form geometry (c). (d-f) show models of the juxtaposition that occurs when two different origami edges form a stacking bond; these bonding models correspond to the edge structure models in (a), (b), and (c), respectively. Models (d) and (e) make predictions for the relative helical twist between blunt-ends across the bond. Model (f) suggests that the disturbance of the base pairs at the edge of the origami may decrease the distinction between the major and minor grooves enough to create a top-bottom pseudosymmetry. This pseudosymmetry could allow bonding between origami in one of the flipped orientations (not shown).

S₂.6. **Quencher strands**

In a binary coded system in which multiple origami containing different binary sequences on their edges are mixed together, interference can arise between the edge staples used to set the sequences on one origami and the edges representing different sequences on another origami. This occurs because all origami in the binary coded system share the same basic design, and their edges share the same staple binding sites. For example, if one origami bears a sequence on its right edge that has a '1' in a particular position, then the staple that creates that active patch can bind to the *same* location on a different origami for which that location was intended to remain inactive, effectively "flipping" a '0' to a '1'. In the worst case, all of the origami would end up with exactly the same sequences, with the right edge of each origami encoding a sequence that represents the bitwise OR of all the sequences on the right edges of the original origami, and the left edge encoding an analogous bitwise OR of all the left-edge sequences. Prevention of such interference could be achieved by purifying the origami to remove excess staples *before* mixing the origami. But purification steps are usually accompanied by significant loss of the origami themselves and may incompletely remove staples. In particular, simple and fast methods such as spin filtration reduce excess staples only by a factor of 5- to 10- fold; more complete removal requires more stringent methods such as gel purification. Complete removal is important because, as we observed in tests of spin purification, relatively small and sporadic changes to edge sequences can significantly increase error rate. As an alternative approach, we introduced strands complementary to the edge staples, which we term *quenchers*.

Quenchers bind to the excess free edge staples in solution and effectively prevent them from binding to the scaffold strand. Quenchers were designed so that they have complementary sequences to the corresponding edge staples (thus quenchers have sequences derived from scaffold strand subsequences), and extra two thymine bases were added to both the 5'-end and the 3'-end (so that the quencher sequence becomes 5'-TT-staple complement-TT-3'). The thymine addition was done to minimize the potential influence of (1) stacking interference from blunt ends that would be generated if simple complements were used and (2) breathing of the resulting quencher-staple duplex that might allow the edge staple strand to bind to a '0' location anyway, via a branch migration process.

The efficiency of the quenchers at blocking the free edge staples was not explicitly measured. However, the high molar excess of the quenchers used $(10 \times$ the concentration of edge staples) and the high free energy of binding between the quenchers and the edge staples (on the order of ~40 kcal/mol, calculated using Oligo Calc, http://www.basic.northwestern.edu/biotools/oligocalc.html) predicts the concentration of free edge staples, in the presence of the quenchers, to be extremely small — on the order of 10^{-21} nM. The experimental protocol for using quenchers is described in Supplementary Note S1, and the detailed sequences are given in Supplementary Note S5.

S2.7. Warnings

In case one wants to repeat or adapt some of our experiments, we give warnings that describe some difficulties which we have encountered and suggest some potential problems that we did not discuss in the main text.

S2.7.1. Length and width of a patch in shape design

Besides the 4-patch design in the shape code system, we have tried other designs with higher complexity (6-patch and 9-patch systems) that we expected to give higher specificity. But as the number of patches increased, we had to design each patch with less material, yielding patches with a smaller number of helices. The flexibility of DNA, coupled with the strength of the stacking interactions, caused these "narrow-patch" systems to be more vulnerable to bent-patch bonds. Fig. S8 briefly summarizes the two systems.

In the 6-patch design (Fig. S8a) we introduced physical gaps between each adjacent pair of 2-helix patches, to minimize any effect of the electrostatic repulsion. (It seemed possible that electrostatic repulsion between adjacent patches might decrease binding energy. This hypothesis has yet to be adequately tested.) The introduction of physical gaps made the patches narrower and longer, allowing various kinds of bent-patch bonds (\sim 30% of all bonds) as shown in Fig. S8d,e. Because we used 3 helical turns for each depth increment, the length of the longest protruding patch was 9 helical turns, which is about 30% of the persistence length of double-crossover DNA tiles (\sim 30 helical turns, \sim 100 nm) — the most similar structure to the 2-helix patch structure for which the persistence length is known². We chose to use a $(6,4,3)$ shape code so that, in principle, the maximum-strength partial bond would have three active patches (1/2 of the full strength). To our dismay, 5-patch bent-patch bonds formed; if the bending energy were small, these bonds would have a binding energy comparable to that of full 6-patch correct bond.

In the 9-patch system, (without physical gaps and with much shorter patches), a significant fraction of the bonds $(\sim 20\%)$ were still bent-patch partial bonds (Fig. S8i,j). We had chosen to use a (9,5,2) shape code so we had expected high binding specificity – the strongest expected incorrect bonds would have a binding energy 2/9 of a full correct bond. To decrease the flexibility of patches, we used a single helical turn for each depth increment, so that the longest protruding patch was just 4 helical turns in length. Thus it was to our further dismay that 8-patch bent-patch bonds formed, which were again potentially very close in energy to full-strength bonds. As a point of interest we note that the 6- and 9-patch systems were not twist-corrected, so the chains in Fig. S8g show the characteristic breaking pattern that is similar to that shown in Fig. 1b of the main text. The global twist might be playing a role in encouraging bent-patch bonds in these systems, but we have not done any experiments to test this possibility.

To decrease flexibility, our final "successful" shape code system employed only four of patches that were 4-helices wide and protruded at most 6 helical turns. Many questions remain: How many patches are optimal for this kind of study? How wide (in terms of number of helices) should each patch be? How long can they be? What is the bending energy of the patches under the buffer condition used? We do not yet have answers to these questions, but it is certain that there is a trade-off between the complexity (and hence the potential specificity in ideal case without helix bending) and the bond reliability. Of course, this problem is limited to "soft" systems like DNA, thus might be avoided in a system with sufficient rigidity.

Figure S8. Performance of 6-patch and 9-patch shape-coded systems. (a) Models of the 6-patch system. The edge shapes of the **A** origami and **B** origami were designed such that the origami form continuous alternating **AB** chains. Shape sequences were '132120' for **A**-**B** bonds and '011310' for **B**-**A** bonds. Stars indicate the locations of dumbbell hairpins, which serve as topographic labels for AFM. (b) $\&$ (c) Typical AFM images of the system that show full-strength correct bonds. (d) $\&$ (e) Typical bent-patch bonds which manage to bind via 5 active patches. The red dotted lines on the models depict bent patches coming from the origami on the top, and the blue dotted lines depict bent patches coming from the origami on the bottom. (f) Models of the 9-patch system. Shape sequences were '034222043' for **A**-**B** bonds and '340224301' for **B**-**A** bonds. (g) & (h) Typical AFM images. Note that the chains in (g) show the characteristic breaking pattern of the twisted origami chains described in Fig. 1 of the main text. (i) $\&$ (j) Typical bent-patch bonds with 8-patch bond strength. The red and blue dotted lines in (i) are used in the same way as in (d) or (e). The narrow blue dotted line in (j) roughly follows the blunt-ends and helical sidewalls of the edge structures.

S2.7.2. Potential interference from the remainder staples

Since the length of the scaffold strand is fixed to be 7249 bases, a DNA origami design that uses fewer than 7249 bases will leave a *remainder* in the form of unfolded single-stranded scaffold – in most designs the remainder takes the form of a single loop. To avoid potential interactions of such a singlestranded remainder on one origami with the remainder of another origami, it is usual to add a set of *remainder staple strands* which have the function of hybridizing to the remainder and turning it into an unreactive double-stranded loop.

When multiple origami which do not share the same underlying design are mixed together, e.g. as in our **A**-**B**-**C**-**D** chains with shape complementarity, there is the possibility of interference between the remainder staple strands of one origami and single-stranded regions of the other origami. In general, a subset of staple strands from one origami may bind single-stranded loopouts on other origami via partial complementarity. (Such loopouts are common in our system because they are used to enforce the 'GC' sequence constraint at the blunt ends of helices.) Binding of staple strands to loopouts does not, in general, seem to affect the origami, but in certain cases remainder strands may have complementarity to surrounding scaffold sequence outside of the loopout. In such cases the remainder strands can begin to displace nearby staples. Because the remainder staples are designed to be "continuous" complements to the remainder loop, each successive remainder staple that displaces a regular staple potentially opens up a site for another remainder to bind. Remainder staples may thus sequentially unfold the local structure of another origami. This process may be energetically favorable because the remainder strands make continuous duplex which likely has a lower energy than origami structure (because of its crossovers and twist strain). In some of our initial experiments on shape complementary origami, we experienced this problem: individual origami folded well but when mixed together remainder staples from one origami caused large structural disruptions in other origami. We do not show this data since none of our final designs exhibit the problem.

Two potential solutions exist: (1) One can avoid the use of remainder staples – in most cases singlestranded remainder sections of the scaffold will cause no further problem. (2) One can design the remainder loops of different origami to coincide (have almost the same sequence), so that the remainder staples of one origami will not bind and invade loopouts of another origami. The latter approach was used successfully in our **A**-**B**-**C**-**D** chain system.

S2.7.3. Possible collisions between edge staples

When designing an origami system with uniform edge sequences (e.g. 'GC') as in our system, if one takes the same approach as ours – generating loopouts to shift the scaffold sequences – one should note that doing so limits the number of possible edge staple strands. In the 7249-base sequence of the M13mp18 scaffold strand, there are 393 occurrences of 'GC' (occurring on average every 18.4 bases, see schematic Fig. S9 below). Hence, ideally, there are 393 different positions at which edge staples can be located. Given a particular geometric design for an origami, one has some choice in terms of which edge staple positions to use; one can change the edge staple sequence at a particular geometric position in the origami by changing the length of the loopouts and/or changing the position at which the scaffold sequence starts in the design. However, when designing multiple origami that are large and use up all the sequence, or further when the origami designs share a similar "start position" for the scaffold sequence (as occurs in our shape-coded **A**, **B**, **C**, **D** system) there is a high probability that some of edge staples from different designs will share subsequences or have identical sequence. For the shape code system we explored this does not cause any difficulties since all edge staple positions are occupied by design. However, in some potential systems (say a hybrid shape code/binary code system) it might be possible for an edge staple present in one origami to fill in an empty edge staple position in another origami and give unexpected results. For example, in some of our initial experiments (not shown) edge staple collisions resulted in unintended aggregation. We note that taking an adapter strand approach to controlling edge sequences (as suggested in the main text) would obviate this problem.

Figure S9. The limited number of 'GC' occurrences in the scaffold strand constrains the number of usable edge staple strands. In case of the M13mp18 scaffold strand, with 7249 bases in total, there are 393 occurrences of 'GC'. The black circular strand represents the scaffold. The boxed area shows how an edge staple strand (blue) binds to the scaffold and forms two 'GC' blunt-ends (depicted by ellipses).

Supplementary Note S3: Thermodynamic measurements

The free energy of the stacking bonds was measured by assuming that monomers and dimers of 'onesided' rectangle origami (origami with edge staples on only one side, Fig. S10a) were at equilibrium. The initial monomer concentration equals the total origami concentration, which was assumed to be the initial scaffold concentration (assuming the yield of origami formation was \sim 100%). The equilibrium concentrations of monomers and dimers were measured by depositing the samples on mica and counting the numbers of each in AFM images (e.g. Fig. S10c). Here the relative ratio of monomers and dimers on surface was assumed to be representative of the ratio in solution. At least two processes could invalidate this assumption: (1) origami dimers might break upon deposition, artifactually elevating the monomers count or (2) origami monomers might land so close to each other that they would be scored as a dimer, artifactually elevating the dimer count. We did not try to estimate the frequency of these processes but we did dilute the origami 5-fold from their formation concentration before depositing them; this decreased the probability of a mismeasurement due to (2). In other experiments dilution was performed on the mica surface, by pipetting a sample onto a $5 \times$ larger volume of buffer on the surface. Because origami stick so quickly to mica, this protocol would run the risk of depositing dimers and monomers before they had the chance to equilibrate at the new concentration. To decrease the potential for this effect, sample solutions were pre-diluted, left to equilibrate for ~5 hours (a longer equilibration time, e.g. 10 hours, was tested for the *p*=6 system and did not show a statistically significant difference, so we assumed that a 5 hour equilibration time was long enough for the $p=6$ and weaker bonds), and then deposited without further dilution. (To be completely free from the effects of surface deposition and dilution and to obtain more detailed thermodynamic parameters, e.g. T_m , ΔH , and ΔS , one could alternatively adopt solution-based measurement techniques such as real-time FRET analysis.³)

The free energy of the stacking bonds was calculated as follows. From the counts of monomers *M*, correct dimers, *D,* and incorrect dimers (misalignments or other orientations, *other*), and the concentration of origami, [*origami*], we calculated the monomer concentration, [M], and dimer concentration, [D]:

$$
[M] = \frac{M \text{[original]}}{M + 2D + 2other} \text{ and } [D] = \frac{D \text{[original]}}{M + 2D + 2other}
$$

From [M] and [D] we calculated the equilibrium constant and the free energy of the bond

$$
K = \frac{[D]}{[M]^2}
$$
 and $\Delta G = -R T \ln K$

where *R* is the gas constant (8.314 J/mol·K) and *T* is the temperature 295 K (22 $^{\circ}$ C).

Figure S10. Thermodynamic measurements. (a) Schematic of the monomer/dimer equilibrium for 'one-sided' origami with six active stacking patches in the middle (binary sequence '000111111000'). (b) The number of active stacking patches and their locations were varied as shown and the free energy was measured in each case. (c) A representative AFM image showing the distribution of the monomers and dimers as well as the distribution of bond orientations.

S3.1. First energy model: assuming loop-loop interactions are neutral

We measured the free energy of stacking bonds for various systems with different numbers and sequences of active patches (Fig. S10ab). Assuming that loop-loop interactions have a neutral effect on the free energy of stacking bonds we calculated the free energy per helix for each system (Table S4 and Fig. S11). The total binding energy was expected to be linear in the number of active patches which would imply a constant free energy per helix, yet we observed free energies that varied between -2.67 kcal/mol and -1.42 kcal/mol depending on the system.

A few trends were observed. First, we observed that the magnitude of the binding energy per helix decreased as the number of helices increases. We hypothesize that the resulting sublinearity of binding energy is due to residual large-scale twist (or other deformation) of the origami structure; our picture is that as the number of stacking patches increases and the patches become more spread out, the bending (or twisting) penalty per patch increases. Such residual deformations seem likely to occur because, although twist correction yields a better *average* twist and *decreases* global twist, local twist still differs greatly from 10.4 bp/turn. This hypothesis is compatible with a second observed trend, one within the 4-patch systems. The three 4-patch systems were designed such that one has all its active patches compactly arranged in the middle ('0000**1111**0000'), another has its active patches highly spread-out ('**1**00**1**0000**1**00**1**') and the third has active patches with an intermediate spacing ('00**1**0**1**00**1**0**1**00'). Dimers in the system with compactly arranged active patches were most stable, while dimers in the system with highly spread-out active patches were least stable; this again suggests a bending or twisting penalty that increases as active patches spread out. Yet, the binding energies for the 2-patch systems did not show a statistically significant difference between the system with most compactly arranged active patches ('00000**11**00000') and the system with more spread-out active patches ('0000**1**00**1**0000'). One can imagine that 2-patch systems would be less affected by structural deformations because the bonds are formed by 2-point connections – no matter how much the edge is bent, 2-point connections could be made by rotation of one origami with respect to the other.

Because we hypothesize that non-stacking factors are all destabilizing, we suggest that the average energy obtained for the 2-patch systems, -2.63 kcal/mol ($1 \times$ TAE with 12.5 mM Mg²⁺, 22°C), is most reflective of a pure stacking interaction. One literature value (Ref. 25 of the main text) for the energy of GC/CG stacking is -2.17 kcal/mol (1M $Na⁺$ solution at 37°C). While buffer conditions between the two experiments differ, we did our best to make the measurements comparable by correcting the literature value using temperature-dependent data given in Ref. 25 of the main text. Fig. S12 shows a plot that we reproduced based on experimental data given in Fig. 3a and Supplementary Table 2 of Ref. 25 of the main text. Data were taken for five different temperatures (32°C, 37°C, 42°C, 47°C, and 52°C). Assuming that the temperature dependence of the enthalpy and the entropy of blunt-end stacking is negligible for the given temperature range, it is appropriate to make a linear fit to ΔG_{st} as a function of temperature. A regression line and its equation $(R^2 = 0.8943)$ are shown in Fig. S12. Linear extrapolation to the *y*-axis $(T=22^{\circ}C)$ gives an energy of -2.42 kcal/mol at $22^{\circ}C$, which is a very close value to the value we obtained.

Table S4. Free energy of the stacking bond per helix for various systems.
[&] Numbers in parentheses indicate the locations of active stacking patches (the 1's in the binary sequences).

*A higher concentration was used because it was hard to find dimers for this system.

[#] A lower concentration was used because it was hard to find monomers for this system.

Figure S11. Free energy of the stacking bond per helix for various systems. Energy values per helix vary depending on the number of patches, indicating a nonlinear relationship between the stacking energy and the number of helices. The overall trend (decreasing $|\Delta G_{s}|\$ as the number of patches increases) suggests that patches farther away from the middle of the edge must bend more (to counter some remnant global deformation) to bind; this hypothesis is consistent with the trend within the 4-patch systems. The binding energies for the 2-patch systems did not show a statistically significant difference (the error bars partially overlap.) Error bars indicate standard error, obtained by bootstrapping the count data and propagating errors through the equations.

Figure S12. Temperature dependence of the stacking free energy (data taken from Ref. 25 of the main text). A linear fit and its extrapolation gives a stacking free energy of -2.42 kcal/mol at 22°C, which is very close to the value we obtained, -2.63 kcal/mol, at the same temperature.

S3.2. Second energy model: fitting with non-zero loop-loop interactions

In the previous energy model, we assumed that loop-loop interactions are negligible. Here we take an alternate approach and assume that loop-loop interactions are not necessarily neutral and further that they may have some constant free energy value, ΔG_{ll} , either positive or negative. This assumption is somewhat simplistic: if loop-loop interactions are attractive due to unintended base-pairing or base-stacking then the attraction is likely to be highly sequence-dependent, and hence different between different pairs of loops. We also assume that the stacking free energy is a linear function of both the number of active patches (each contributing $\Delta G_p = 2\Delta G_{st}$) and the number of inactive patches (each contributing ΔG_l). For simplicity, for a given p , we averaged the measured free energies for different arrangements of active patches. This resulted in a single free energy for each of three values of *p* (2, 4, and 6) which allowed us to write the following three equations:

> (1) $2\Delta G_p + 10\Delta G_{ll} = -10.53$ (2) $4\Delta G_p + 8\Delta G_l = -13.28$ (3) $6\Delta G_p + 6\Delta G_{ll} = -17.07$ (all in kcal/mol)

Here we have more equations than unknowns. In principle, for this system of linear equations to be consistent, the intersection of each pair of equations should coincide exactly. In practice, because of experimental error and potential sequence-dependent effects, we expect that the intersections should lie in close proximity to each other. Fig. S13 shows a plot of the three equations. The intersections occur at $(\Delta G_p, \Delta G_l)$ = (-2.03, -0.65), (-2.24, -0.60), and (-2.37, -0.48), for equations (1) and (2), equations (1) and (3), and equations (2) and (3), respectively. Least squares analysis gives a solution of $(\Delta G_p, \Delta G_d) = (-2.23,$ -0.59) with a root mean square error of 0.24 (which can be roughly interpreted as the average distance of the solution from each intersection in the plot of ΔG_{ll} vs. ΔG_p .

Thus we find that the average free energy of loop-loop interactions (ΔG_{ll}) is negative (suggesting that loop-loop interactions contribute favorably to the binding) but small—less than half the average free energy of a single base pair, -1.41 kcal/mol (nearest neighbor model – Ref. 28 of the main text). It would be interesting to ask whether the average loop-loop interaction is typical, or whether most loop-loop interactions are neutral and just a few inactive patches contribute most of the binding energy. Answering this question will require more experiments, in particular measurements of the binding energy for stacking bonds that have the same stacking sequence, but loops of different base sequence. Another observation is that ΔG_{ll} , the binding energy of a pair of inactive patches, is one-fourth the free energy for an active patch ΔG_p . Its effect on stacking bond strength will depend not just on this ratio, but the number of inactive patches used. For a 16-patch stacking sequence with 7 active patches, the 9 inactive patches will make a contribution to the binding energy that is roughly equivalent to two active patches, and about one-fourth (coincidentally) of the total free energy of the bond. With respect to predicting the ratios of correct vs. incorrect bonds, the contribution of loop-blunt end interactions (which occur frequently in mismatch incorrect bonds) will likely have to be included; so far we have no quantitative data that address such interactions. Finally, we observe that if this model is correct then we must reconcile the relatively small $|\Delta G_{st}|$ observed (1.12 kcal/mol) with much higher literature values (2.42 kcal/mol). It may be partially due to the difference in measurement methods, or it might suggest that the near-B-form stacks

(Note S2.5) which occur in 'relaxed' edges do, in fact, have a somewhat smaller free energy. Future experiments using 'crossover-free' edges may address this question.

Figure S13. Plots of the three equations given for ΔG_p **and** ΔG_d **in Note S3.2. Least squares analysis gives a** solution of $(\Delta G_p, \Delta G_l)$ = (-2.23, -0.59) with a root mean square error of 0.24. Inset shows a zoom-in view of the plot near the intersections of the three lines.

Supplementary Note S4: Additional AFM Data

S4.1. Stacking of rectangles (figure caption on next page)

Figure S14. Wide-field AFM images of rectangle origami systems. (a-c) Twist-corrected origami with relaxed edges. Note that they form chains with lengths on the order of \sim 10 um. Chains formed by these origami break in a way that suggests that the breakage occurs upon deposition since pieces lie close to each other. However, in contrast to the twisted origami shown in (d-i), twist-corrected origami break into long pieces and show no preferred direction for the shift between neighboring pieces. Note also that twist-corrected relaxed chains are straight with very rare dislocations, as opposed to the twist-corrected origami with stressed edges shown in (j-o). (d-i) Twisted origami (with relaxed edges). Chains break with a characteristic periodicity (2-6 origami) and directional offset. Note that some parts of the chains seem to unwind while depositing, especially near the ends (as suggested by the straight sections near the ends of twisted chains). (j-o) Origami with stressed edges (with twist-correction). Bonds are promiscuous: many dislocations occur and the bond orientations are random. Orange boxes and arrows show zoom-in areas. Scale bars in (a), (c), (j), (l), (m), (o) are 600 nm, and scale bars in (b), $(d-i)$, (k) , (n) are 1 μ m.

S4.2. 5-origami chains with orthogonal binary-coded bonds

Figure S15. Wide-field AFM images of 5-origami chains with orthogonal bonds based on a binary code. Full correct bonds between each pair of origami resulted in chains with five distinct origami. Due to mismatched bonds and small stoichiometric discrepancies, shorter chains, longer chains, and 5-origami chains containing incorrect bonds were also found. 88% of total bonds analyzed (N=66) were correct bonds, and the fraction of origami found in 5-origami chains was 31% (N=192). Scale bars, 500 nm.

S4.3. Origami dimers and chains with orthogonal shape-coded bonds

Figure S16. Wide-field AFM images of dimers and 4-origami chains with orthogonal bonds based on a shape code. (a-c) AFM of dimers of (a) $A_r + B_l$, (b) $B_r + C_l$, and (c) $C_r + D_l$, respectively, show high yields of correct, full bonds. The fractions of correct bonds out of total bonds analyzed were 95% (N=191), 98% (N=203), and 97% (N=179), respectively, and the fractions of origami found in correct dimers were 91% (N=397), 90% (N=442), and 91% (N=384), respectively. (d) AFM of the **A**-**B**-**C**-**D** system show 4-origami chains with full, correct bonds (some chains shown are folded), and some shorter chains that may result from stoichiometric discrepancies or mismatched bonds. 81% of total bonds analyzed (N=279) were correct bonds, and the fraction of origami found in 4-origami chains was 44% (N=430). Scale bars, 200 nm.

- 1. Sherman, W. B. & Seeman, N. C. Design of minimally strained nucleic acid nanotubes. *Biophys. J.* **90**, 4546-4557, doi:10.1529/biophysj.105.080390 (2006).
- 2. Sa-Ardyen, P., Vologodskii, A. V. & Seeman, N. C. The flexibility of DNA double crossover molecules. *Biophys. J.* **84**, 3829-3837 (2003).
- 3. Saccà, B., Meyer, R., Feldkamp, U., Schroeder, H. & Niemeyer, C. M. High-throughput, real-time monitoring of the self-assembly of DNA nanostructures by FRET spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **47**, 2135-2137 (2008).

Supplementary Note S5: Sequence lists and diagrams

 $r1 + 12fr2$

 $r1t14fr1$

 $r1t14fr2$

 $-1+1.4+2$

ة 1111411
11116fr1

 $r1t16fr2$

r1t16fr3
r1t18fr1

 $r1t18fr2$

r1t18fr3
r1t18fr3

 $r1t20fr2$

 $r1t20fr3$ $r1t22fr1$

 $r1t22fr2$

r1t22fr3
r1t24br4

 $r1t2fr1$

 $r1t2fr2$

 $r1t2fr3$

 $r1t4fr1$

 $r1t4fr2$

 $r1t4fr3$

 $r1t6fr1$

ritori
r1t6fr2
r1t6fr3

 $r1+8fr1$

 $r1t8fr2$

 $r1t8fr3$

 $r-1$ t 0 tl 4

 $r - 11001$

 $r-1t10f12$

 $r - 1 + 10f$

 $r-1t12f11$

 $r-1$ t $12f$ l 2

 $r-1$ t12fl3

 $r - 1t14f11$

 $r-1t14f12$

 $r - 1 + 14f$

 $r - 116f11$

 $r-1$ t $16f$ l 2

r-1t16fl3
r-1t18fl1

 $r - 1 + 18f/2$

 $-1+19f12$

 $r-1$ t20fl1

 $r-1t20f12$

r-1t20fl3
r-1t22fl1

r-1t22fl2

 $r - 1 + 22f$

 $r - 1t24b12$

 $r - 1 + 24h$

 $-1+261$

 $r-1t2f12$

 $r-1$ t2fl3

r-1t4fl1
r-1t4fl1
r-1t4fl2

 $r-1$ t4fl3

r-1t4115
r-1t6fl1
r-1t6fl2

 $r-1$ t6fl3

 $-118f11$

 $r-1t8f12$

 $r-1$ t $8f$ R

rt-rem1
rt-rem2

 rt -rem3

rt-rem

rt-rem5

S5.1. Rectangle with 10.44 bp/turn

Core

Seg name Sequence $rot11ml1$
 $rot11mrl$ **TTAGATACTATTTTCATTTGGGGAATGCCT** TAAGAACGGAGGTTTTGAAGCCTAGTCAGA $r0t11mr2$ TAATGCAGTTCGAGCCAGTAATAACTGACCTA $r0t11mr3$ rotiims
r0t11mr_fr AAATCAGAGCTATTTTGCACCCAGAGAATA AATATCGCTAAGAGGAAGCCCGAAACCTCCCG $rot11$ seam CCAGACGACGACAAAAGGTAAAGTATAACCTG $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{r0t11seam} \\ \mathsf{r0t13m11} \end{array}$ GAGTAATGCGGAGACAGTCAAATAACGTTA $r0t13mr1$ TAAAGTACCGACAATAAACAACAGGTATTC r0t13mr2
r0t13mr2 AARTTTAATAATGCTGATGCAAATTTTTAATGGAGGCATTTTAATGGAGGCATTAACGCGCCTGTTTATCTTCATCGT $r0t13mr$ fr AAATAAGACCTTTTTAACCTCCGTGAGTGA TTTAGCTAATTTCGCAAATGCCCGTGAGTTCTGT
TTTAGCTAATTTCGCAAATGGTCAAATTCTGT $rot13$ seam r0t13seam_ $rot15mr1$ CAAGACAAAGTTAATTTCATCTTGAGAATA rot15mr3
r0t15mr_fr CAASACAAAJIWWWW.COMERCACAACATGT ATAACCTACAATAACGGATTCGTTATACTT $r0t15$ seam AGAAAGGCTGTAGGTAAAGATTCATTTTCAAA $rot17mr3$ TCAATTACACATAAATCAATATATAGGCTTAGG r0t17mr_fr CTGAATACGTATTAAATCCTTTGGCAAATC r 0+19ml1 CCACACAAGGGGTGCCTAATGAGAGCAGGC $rot19ml3$ $rot19mr2$ $r0t19mr$ fr AACAGTTCCACCAGCAGAAGATACATTCTG rot19seam_
r0t19seam_
r0t1ml1 TCCAAAAGTTTCGAGGTGAATTTGTAATGC $rot1ml3$ TTTTCACGCCGATAGTTGCGCCGAACTTTTC rot1mr2 TTTAACGGGAATGGAAAGCGCAGTCCATCTTT CCGTATATGGCCTTGATATTCAGAGCCACC r0t1mr_fr $-$ TTGATGATTCCAGTAAGCGTCATACGGTTTAT rotiseam_
r0t1t_seam $rot1tl1$ $r0t1t12$ GGATAGCAAGCCCAATAGGAACCCCAACAGTT $rot1t13$ TAACACTGAGTTTCGTCACCAGTTTTTCTGT $rot1tr1$ GGTGTATCACCGTACTCAGGAGGTTAATAAGT $r(t)$ 1 ml¹ GAAAATCCCCTTATAAATCAAAACGGCGAA AAAAATCCCCTTATAAATCAAAACGGCGAA r0t21ml3 r0t21ml f $r0t21mr2$ GTCACACGTTGCAACAGGAAAAACTAAAGGGA $rot21mr3$ GTCAGTATCAATATCTGGTCAGTTGCCCGAAC $rot21mr$ fr GCCAACATGCTGGTAATATCCAAATCCTGA rotzim_n
r0t21seam_r
r0t23b_seam AAATGGATTACATTTTGACGCTCACGAAATCGCTCGCGAGAAAGGAAAACGCTCACGAAATCAGAC $r0t23b11$ GATTTAGAGCTTGACGGGGAAAGCGAATAGCC r 0+23_{br1} CGGAGCTAAACAGGAGGCCGATGCTCATG rotzaona TTTTAGACAGGAACGGTACGCCAGGAACAATA r0t23br3 GAAGTGTTTTTATAATCAGTGAGCTCAAACT $rot23ml2$
 $rot23ml_f$ CGAGATAGCACGCTGGTTTGCCCCTGAGCTAA ACAAGAGCACCGCCTGGCCCTGCTGCCCGC $rot23mr1$ GAAATACCTATTTACATTGGCAGAGTGCCA $r0t23mr3$ GCCAGCCAACCAGTAATAAAAGGGAAAACAGA roczanna
r0t23seam_l GCAAAATCTGTTTGATGGTGGTTCATCGTCTG $r0t3m11$ CACTACGAATACACTAAAACACTATCTTGA $r(1+2m)$ **COTTGATATTGAAAATCTCCAAAAATTTTCAG** GTTTCCATCGATTATACCAAGCGCGACCAGGC r0t3ml3 r0t3ml fl ACAACCATTGCTAAACAACTTTATGTACCG rotaming
r0t3mr1
r0t3mr2 TTTACCGTACAGGAGTGTACTGGTTAGTAC $r0t3mr3$ TAAAGCCAGGTCAGTGCCTTGAGTGATATAAG rot3mr_fr
r0t3mr_fr
r0t3seam_ ACCGGAATCGATAGCAGCACCGGAAGGTAA CAGCTTGCGAGCCTTTAATTGTATCATGGCTT $rot3$ seam CATAGCCCCGCGTTTTCATCGGCACGAAAGAG rot5ml1
r0t5ml2 CAAGAACCCTGCTCATTCAGTGAAATGCAG $r0t5ml$ f GTACAACCTTTGAGGACTAAAGCAATGACA -rot5mr1
r0t5mr1
r0t5mr2 GACTGTAGCCTTATTAGCGTTTGCTCTGAA
CGACATTCGAAACGCAAAGACACCATAATAAC $r0t5mr3$ AGCGACAGAAAATCACCGGAACCACAAACAAA $rotSmr_f$ ATATTGAGCAAACGTAGAAAATAGCTATCT GCAAAAGAAGGCACCAACCTAAAATTTTCGGT $rot5$ seam rot5seam_ ATATGGTTTTTGTCACAATCAATAAATCAACG rotssear
r0t7ml1
r0t7mr1 ATACATAAAACACTATCATAACCTTGCATC
AAGTTTATTACCAGCGCCAAAGAAGCGTCA $r0t7mr2$ AGCAAGAATGAACACCCTGAACAATAAATCAA $rot7mr3$ ATATAAAAAACCGATTGAGGGAGGTAATCAGT r0t7mr fr TACCGAAGCAGCCTTTACAGAGCTACAATT r ⁻
 $n + 7$ seam TAACAAAGGGATATTCATTACCCAGAAAATTC rotrseam_i CACAAAGGGATATTCATTACCCAGAAAATTCCCACAAAATTCCCACAAGAGAGCGCTAATATCCAGAGAGGCATA r0t9ml1 $rot9mr1$ GGGTAATTATTGAGTTAAGCCCAACGGAAT rot9mr2
r0t9mr3 CATTACTTTATACAACCCTTATCCTCTTCAC GATTAGTTATAGAAGGCTTATCCTGTTCAGC $rot9mr_f$ TTATCCTCAAGCCGTTTTTATTAACAATAG rot9seam_ GTAAGAGCCGCCAAAAGGAATTACGAGATAA $rot9$ seam ACTTGCGGCGAGGCGTTTTAGCGAAGACTTCA $r1t0tr2$ GGATAAGTGCCGTCGAGAGGGTTAACAGTGC TAGGATTAGCGGGGTTTTGCTCAGTGCCTATT $r100r3$ $r1t10fr1$ $r1t10fr2$ TCTTTCCATTAAACCAAGTACCGCATATCCCA $r1t10fr3$ AACGGGTAGAGCCTAATTTGCCAAATCCAA $r1t12fr1$ AGAATCGCCTGAACAAGAAAAATAACTCATCC $r1t12fr2$ TCCTAATTACGCTCAACAGTAGGGAACACCGC

TAAAGCCATACGAGCATGTAGAATTCCAAG GAGAGACTGCGTTAAATAAGAATACTTAATTC AATCATAATGAATTTATCAAAATCCGCTATTA CTCAATACTTACTACAAAAAGCCACCACTA CGGGAGAATGCTTCTGTAAATCGTATAGGTCT ATTAATTTATACAGTAACAGTACCCTACCATA AGATGAATTCCCTTAGAATCCTTGAGAAGA CGACAACTATGGAAGGGTTAGAACTTTTACA1 **TCAAAATTAAGTATTAGACTTTACGGTTATCT** GGATTTAGATTTGCACCTAAAACTAACGTC
CGAACGAAGAAAGGAATTGCAGGAAAACAATT AAAATATCCTAAAACATCGCCATTGACCTGAA TGATAGCCTTTAGGAGCACTAACCATTTGA
ATCGGCCTGAGATAGGACCCTTCTAAAAATAC AGCGTAAGTGCCTGAGTAGAAGAAGCCACCGA ACATCACTAATACGTGGCACAGACGCGAAC GTAAAAGAGTCTGTCCATCACGCAGTAATA CAGACGATAACAGTTAATGCCCCCTACCAGGC TCGGAACCCATTGACAGGAGGTTGCGCCACCC GCCGCCAGTATTATTCTGAAACAGAAGGAT TGAAACCACCGCCTCCCTCAGAGCAGGCAGGT TCARACCACCOCCTCCCTCAGAGCAGGCAGGT GTATGTTACGGAAATTATTCATTAGTCACCAA TTATCACCATGATTAAGACTCCTTGTAAGCAG GAACTGGCGTCACCGACTTGAGCTAGCACC TGAAAATAGCCCTTTTTAAGAAAAATTACGCA ATAGCCGACGATTTTTTGTTTAACAACGAGCG ATAAGAAAACAAAGTTACCAGAACCCAAAA CAACGCCTGTAGCATTCCACAGATTTGTCG AAACGAGAGAGTACCTTTAATTGCTACGGTGT
ATAAGAGGCTCAAATGCTTTAAACAGAGGGGG GAATCCCCTCATTTTTGCGGATGAGCTCAA CTGGAAGTACATCCAATAAATCATTTTTGCGG GGCAAAGAAAATATGCAACTAAAGTCCTTTTC CATGTTTTATTAGCAAAATTAAGTTGTACC GAGAAGCCGAGAGGGTAGCTATTTCATATGT TCTACAAATATGACCCTGTAATACACAGGCAA AAAAACATGGCTATCAGGTCATTTGAACGG CCCCGGTTGCTTTCATCAACATTACGTAACCG CGAGTAACAAACTAGCATGTCAATTTGAGAGA TAATCGTAAACCCGTCGGATTCTGGATAGG CGCCAGGGGTGTAGATGGGCGCATAATGTGAG TCACGTTGTTTTCCCAGTCACGAATGCCTG GCTCGAATTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTACAGCTG CATTAATGCTCTAGAGGATCCCCGTTGGGTAA CAGGTCGAAATCGGCCAACGCGCGTGGTTT TTGCCCTTTCCACTATTAAAGAACGCCGTAAA CAACGTCAACCAGTGAGACGGGCAGCCAGCTG TTCTTTTCAAGGGCGAAAAACCGTCACCCA GCACTAAATCGGAACCCTAAAGGAGTTTGGA AATCAAGTTTTTTGGGGTCGAGGTGTGGACTC ATGGGATTTCGCCCACGCATAACCGCAACGGC CGGTCGCTGACGTTAGTAAATGAAACAAACTA TCTTTCCAGAGGCTTGCAGGGAGAGCAGCG TACAGAGGGGAGATTTGTATCATCACTTTGAA AAAGACAGCGAAATCCGCGACCTACGGTCA AGAGGACACTTGAGATGGTTTAATAACGAACT TAATCATTGGAACCGAACTGACCAGCCTGATA ATCATAAGGTGAATTACCTTATGGGACGTT AACGGAACCAAAAGAAGTTTTGCCAGTTCAGA GGGAAGAAAAATGTTTAGACTGGATTCATT AGCACGTATAACGTGCTTTCCTCGTTAG ACCACACCCGCCGCGCTTAATGCGCCGCT CAAGTGTAGCGGTCACGCTGCGCGTAACO AGCGAAAGGAGCGGGCGCTAGGGCGCTGG

Edge staples that make relaxed edge.

Left edge (from top to bottom)

Edge staples that make stressed edges

Left edge (from top to bottom)

 $r(t)$

 r_{0t}

 r Ot₇
 r Ot9

rOts

 $rot1$

 $rot1$

 $r₀$ t1

rot
r0t1
r0t1

 $r₀$ t1

 $rot1$

 $r0t1$

 $rot1$

 $rot1$ $rot1$

 $r₀$ t1

 $rot1$

 $rot1$ r Ot1
 r Ot1

 $rot2$

 $rot2$

rota
r0t7
r0t9

 r Ot1
 r Ot1

 $rot1$

 $n₀₊₁$

 $r(t)$

 $rot1$

 $r₀$ t1

 $rot1$

 $rot1$

 $r(1)$

Hairpin-labeled staples (hairpin sequence in lowercase)

Diagram for rectangle with 10.44 bp/turn

with positions of dumbbells (orange circles) and positions and lengths of loopouts (black circles with numbers) indicated

Sequence diagram for rectangle with 10.44 bp/turn – (1) with edge staples that create *relaxed edges*

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

Sequence diagram for rectangle with 10.44 bp/turn - (2) with edge staples that create stressed edges **(Only the edge staples are different.)**

Rectangle with 10.67 bp/turn S5.2.

Diagram for rectangle with 10.67 bp/turn

with positions and lengths of loopouts (black circles with numbers) indicated

Sequence diagram for rectangle with 10.67 bp/turn

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO

$S5.3.$ **Tall rectangle**

Core

Seg nami t0r11ml1
t0r11mr1 AGATTTAGCGCCAAAAGGAATTACCCCCCTCA GTAATTGAATTGAGTTAAGCCCCAAGAAACCGA $+0r11mr$ AAACGATTAATCTTACCAACGCTACCTCCCGA $tor11mr_f$ AAACAGGGCAATGAAATAGCAATTAAGCAG $tor11seam$ TGCCCTGAGCTGCTCATTCAGTGAAGATAACC $t0r11$ seam CAGCCATTAATTTGCCAGTTACTAATGCAG t Or13ml1 $t0r13mr1$ $t0r13mr2$ CTTGCGGGTTTCATCGTAGGAATCGCTGTCTT $tor13mr$ TTATCCTGTTTTGTTTAACGTCAAACATAA $tor13$ seam ATACATAAGAATACCACATTCAACAAAATAAA $\frac{10.22}{10r13$ seam_ AGAACGCTAGAAGGCTTATCCGGAGAATGA $tor15ml1$ TAAGAGGTGAATATAATGCTGTAGCTAATAGT $t0r15mr1$ ATCAGATAGAGGCGTTTTAGCGAAACGAGCGT $t0r15mr2$ TCCTTATCAAGGTAAAGTAATTCTCATATTTA $\frac{10123...}{10r15mr}$ fr CCHARGANORAAGHATTERCATHIA $tor15$ seam $t0r15$ seam ATGTAGAATATCCCATCCTAATTTAGAGCT
AGTAGCATGAATTAGCAAATTTAGAAAAGGGT $t0r17m11$ $t0r17mr1$ GAAAAATAAACCAATCAATAATCGATTACCGC $+0r17mr$ ACAACGCCTTACTAGAAAAAGCCTTGACCTAA $tor17mr$ ACCGACAAATTCCAAGAACGGGTGCAAGCC TAATTGCTCATTTTTGCGGATGGCTTACGAGC t0r17seam $+0.17$ seam AGTAGGGCCAGTATAAAGCCAAATACAGGC GAGAAAGGTTCAACCGTTCTAGCTTAAATTGT $t0r19m11$ $t0r19mr1$ AATTCTTACTTAATTGAGAATCGCGTCCAGAC $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{for } 15\ldots \\
\text{for } 19\text{mr} \\
\text{for } 19\text{mr}_\text{fr}\n\end{array}$ ATTTAATGTCATAGGTCTGAGAGATTTCCCTT AATCATAAAACATGTAATTTAGGATAAAGT $t0r19$ seam AAGGCAAATAACATCCAATAAATCCGCTCAAC $\frac{101252}{10}$ ATATTTAACGCGAGAAAACTTCACCATCA ATATTTAACGCGAGAAAACTTCACCATCA $t0r1m11$ t0r1ml fl TTGCTCAGAGAACCGCCACCCTCAAAACTACA $t0r1mr2$
 $t0r1seam$ ACCACCAGAGAGCCACCACCGGAACGCGTTTT $+0r1t$ seam CGCAGTCTCTGAATTTACCGTTCCAGTAAGC GTCATACATGGCTTTTGATGATACTGCCGTCG
ACTGGTAATAAGTTTTAACGGGGGAGACTCC $+0r111$ $t0r1tl2$ $+0r1+r1$ ATTCACAAACAAATAAATCCTCATCCAGAACC ATTEACARACARTRARTECTCATCERORACE $tor1$ t0r21mr_fr $tor21$ seam ATATGATACCGGAGACAGTCAAATTTTCAAAT tor23mr_fr
t0r23mr_fr ATCAAGAATGAAAACATAGCGATGTGAATT CCAGTCACGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGCGCTCACT GGCGATTACGGGTACCGAGCTCGACTGGGGTG $t0r25ml$ fl $\frac{10125...}{10r25mr2}$ TAATGGAAAACATTATCATTTTGCAGTATTAG t0r25mr_fr TTGCGTAGAGAGGCGAATTATTCAACAAAC $\frac{10125}{10r^2}$ TAATCCTCAGATGATGGCAATTGGCCAGTG $t0r27m11$ GCCGCTTTAATGAATCGGCCAACCCGAATCGCTAACTCGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGGGGTTTGCC t0r27ml_fl $t0r27mr₂$ ACTTTACAATCTGGTCAGTTGGCACCACGCTG $tor27mr_f$ GTTTGAGTGGGTTAGAACCTACCAAAGAAA $tor27$ seam GATAATACTAATAGATTAGAGCGTCGTGCC $t0r29m11$ GGCAAAATGGTTGAGTGTTGTTCCAAGCCGGC $t0r29m12$ AGAGGCGACATTAATTGCGTTGACTCTAGA ACTAACAACATTTGAGGATTTAGAGGAACAAA $t0r29mr1$ $t0r29mr2$ AGAGCCAGCTAAAACATCGCCATTGACCTGAA $\frac{10.25}{10}$ to 29mr_fr CAATCAATAACAATTCGACAACTTTTAAAA t0r29seam AGCTGCATTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTCGTCAATA $\frac{10r29$ seam TATTAACTAAAACAGAGGTGAGGAATAGCC ACAAGAGTGTTTGATGGTGGTTGCGCGGGG $t0r31mr1$ GCAGAAGAACCGCCTGCAACAGTGAATCAACA $t0r31mr.fr$ TGATAGCCCAGCAAATGAAAAATAAACCCT TGATAGCCCAGCAAATGAAAAATAAACCCT
AGCGTAAGAATACGTGGCACAGACGCGAAC
CGAGATAGCCCTTATAAATCAAAAGCGGTCAG $\frac{10131111}{100311012}$ t0r31seam GAACGTGGCGAGAAAGGAAGGGAAACATTCTG $\overline{\text{to-seq}}$ CCCGATTTAGAGCTTGACGGGGAAGTTTGGA t0r31bl1 $t0r31br1$ GCCAACAGAGATAGAACCCTTCTAAAAATAC $t0r3m11$
 $t0r3m12$ GTTAGTAACTTTCAACAGTTTCAGACAATGAC CACCCTCTACCAGGCGGATAAGAGGAGTGT $t0r3ml$ f AACGATCTAAGGAACAACTAAAGGGGTGAAT $+0.2$ mr1 GAGCCGCCACCTCAGAGCCGCCATAAAGCCA CATCGGCAAAGGCCGGAAACGTCATGAATTAT t0r3mr2 t0r3mr fr CCGGAACCAGCCGCCGCCAGCATCCTTGAT $t0r3seam_l$ TGTATCACGATATAAGTATAGCCCACCCTCAG
TTGCCTTAATCAGTAGCGACAGGGATTTTG $t0r5m11$ AACAACCAGAGGCTTGCAGGGAGTAAGAATAC $t0r5m12$ GAATAGAAAAGTTTTGTCGTCTAGTACCGC tor smile AGCACCGTTAGCGTCAGACTGTAGCCGCCTCC t0r5mr2 CACCGTCAACAATCAATAGAAAATAAACGTAG $t0r5mr_f$ CCATTAGCTTTTCGGTCATAGCCAAAATCA t0r5seam_ $\overline{\text{to}}$ TGACGGAATTGAGGGAGGGAAGGATATATT tor3sed
t0r7ml1 ACTAAAACCGCGAAACAAAGTACAAAATCAAC GCTTTTGCCGATAGTTGCGCCGCGGAGTGA $t0r7mr1$ TTCAACCGAATTATTCATTAAAGGCCAATGAA to r7mr2 AAAATACAACAAAGTTACCAGAAGTAATAAGA t0r7mr_f ATTTTGTCCCGACTTGAGCCATTACCATTA t0r7seam CGGTCGCTTCGCCCACGCATAACCGTAAATA1 $\frac{10r7seam}{t0r9m11}$ TCCTTATAAAAGAACTGGCATGCAGCGATT GTAACAAACGAGAACTGGCATGCAGCGATTG $t0r9mr1$ GAATACCCTACGCAGTATGTTAGCTCATATGG $t0r9mr2$ GCAAGAAAAAGCGCATTAGACGGGCAAATAAG ATAGCCGATACATAAAGGTGGCATAAGTTT t0r9mr f $t0r9$ seam ATACCAAGACTCATCTTTGACCCCATTAAGAC CACAAGAGCGCTAATATCAGAGATAAGGCT $\overline{\text{to-sean}^-}$ TTGAGTAACAGTGCCCGTATAAATTATTCT $t-1$ r0tl4 $t-1r10f12$ CGAACTGAGTGAATTACCTTATGGGACGTT

Right edge (from top to bottom)

 $+1 - 1 - 1$

t-1r14fl2

 $t - 1r16f12$

 $t-1r18f12$

 $t-1r20f12$

 $+1.22612$

 $1r24f12$

 $t-1r26f11$

 $t - 1r$ 26fl₂

t-1128fl1

t-1r28fl2

.
1r2fl1

.
1-1r2fl2

t-1r30fl1

. -
t - 1 r 30fl 2

. -.....
:-1r32bl2

 $t-1$ r4fl1

. --
t-1r4fl2

 $t-1$ r6fl1

 $t-1$ r6fl2

 $t - 1$ r8fl2

tr-rem1

tr-rem2

t1r

 $t1r2$

 $t1r$

...
*1.

 $\frac{1}{11}$

 ttr

 $t1r1$

 $\begin{array}{c} 111 \\ 111 \\ 112 \end{array}$

 $\frac{1}{11}$

 $t1r$

 $t1r2$

 $t1r$

 $t-1$

 $t-1$

.
t-11

 $t-1$

 $t - 11$

 $t-1$

 $t-1$

.
t-11

 $t-1$

 $t-1$

 $t - 11$

. . .
t-11

 $t-1$

 $t-1$

t0r29snecia

Left edge (from top to bottom)

Hairpin-labeled staples (hairpin sequence in lowercase) $t-1$ r $8f1$ hn TCCGCGACGTTTCCATtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTAAACGGGAGCAGCGA t-110H1_hp
t-1r10fl1_hp
t-1r12fl1_hp - LANGATION AND CONTROL INTERFERIES AND A SAN ASSASS TAAT CARE TAAT CARE TAGE TO A A SAN A SAN A SAN A SAN A CONTROL OF COARD CONTROL CARE TO A A SAN $t - 1r14f1$ AAAAAGATAAAATGTTtctctttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTAGACTGGCTCGTTTA t0r5ml_fl_hp GCTTGATACGGGATCGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTCACCCTCTAAAATAC t0r7ml_fl_hp CCAACCTAATCGCCTGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtATAAATTGACAGATGA tornm_n_np
t0r9ml_fl_hp
t0r11ml_fl_hp
t0r13ml_fl_hp CAAGAACCCTTGAGATtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGGTTTAATAACGAACT
CAAGAACCCTTGAGATtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTCATAACCATAGCGTC
CATTATTACAACACTAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTCATAACCATAGCGTC
ATCGTCATGTCAGAAGtcctcttttgaggaacaagtttt $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{t0r9ml2_hp} \\
\text{t0r11ml2_hp}\n\end{array}$ t0r13ml2 $^-$ hp t0r15ml2_hp
t-1r16fl1_hp t-1r18fl1 hp CAAAAACAACATTTCGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCAAATGGTCGGTGTCT -AAAAAAAAAAAAACCCTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtcATATATTGGTTGTAC
TCTACAAAAGAACCCTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCATATATTGGTTGTAC
GCCTTCCTAAACTAGCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtATGTCAATTTGAGAGA $t-1$ r20fl1 hp t-112011_np
t-1r22fl1_hp
t-1r24fl1_hp t0r15ml_fl_hp
t0r17ml_fl_hp COCALLICATION CONTRACTED AND ACCEPTED AND TRIAGO AND ACCEPTED AND TRIAGO AND ACCEPTED AND CONTRACT ACCEPTED AND CONTRACT ACCEPTED AND CONTRACT ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTED AND A SERIES OF A SAMPLE OF A SAMPLE OF A SA COLUMINATION
CAGTAATGGAGAGGGTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAGCTATTTCATATGTA
GGAAGATTGCCATCAAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAAATAATTCCGTAATG
GTTTTAAGTACCTTTtcctctttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAAATTGCTACCCTGAC t0r19ml f lhp $+0r21m$ fl hp t0r17ml2_hp t0r19ml2 hp GCCTCAGGTGGCATCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAATTCTACTCAACAT $tor21ml2$ ^{hc} AATGCCGTGTAGGTAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAAGATTCGCAATAAA t0r23ml2_hp TAGGAACGTATAAGCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAAATATTGATAAATT t0r23ml f l hp $\frac{U(2,3,1)}{10r^2}$ t0r27ml2_hp $t0r21m11$ hr AAACGTTATAAATCAGtcctctttteaeeaacaaettttcttetCTCATTTTGTGCATCT $\frac{101223m12 h}{10r23m12 h}$ GCCAGTTTATCGCACTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCCAGCCAGGGGTTTTC accAGTTTATCGCACTtCCtCttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCCAGCCAGGGGTTT tor23seam | hp %DESSeam_I_Inp TCAGGAAGGAGGGGACtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGACGACAGTAACGGAT
t0r25seam_I_Inp TCAGGAAGGAGGGGCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGACGACAGTAACGGAT
t0r27seam_I_Inp CCAAGCTTGACGTTGTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAATAACCGGC %these
m_r_hp TCGCCTGCATCGGGAtccLttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGAAACAATATCGGCC
t0r23sem_r_hp TCGATATAGTCGCTATtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTAATTAATCTACCTTT
t0r25mr1_hp ACCTTTTAATTGCTTTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTAATTAATCTACCTTT
t0 $\begin{array}{c} \text{t0r27mr1_hp}\\ \text{t0r21mr2_hp} \end{array}$ CTGATTATGATTGTTTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGGATTATATCAGATGA
AGAATCCTAACAAAATtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTAATTACAAGTTACAA AATCGCGCATTTTCAGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGTTTAACGCTTCTGAA t0r23mr2_hp

in-less staples corresponding to hairpin-labeled

(needed when generating hairpin patterns other than 'L') t-1r8fl1_hp_org TCCGCGACGTTTCCATTAAACGGGAGCAGCGP t-1r10fl1_hp_org TAATCATTCCAACTTTGAAAGAGGTGTCGAAA t-1r12fl1_hp_org CCAGACGAAAATCTACGTTAATAATTCAACTT . 1114f11_hp_org AAAAAGATAAAATGTTTAGACTGGCTCGTTTA
t0r5ml_fl_hp_org AAAAAGATAAAATGTTTAGACTGGCTCGTTTA
t0r5ml_fl_hp_orgCCTTGATACGGGATCGTCACCCTCTAAAATAC
t0r7ml_fl_hp_orgCCAACCTAATCGCCTGATAAATTGACAGATGA t0r9ml_fl_hp_orgCAAGAACCCTTGAGATGGTTTAATAACGAACT t0r11ml_fl_hp_org CATTATTACAACACTATCATAACCATAGCGTO t0r13ml fl hp org ATCGTCATGTCAGAAGCAAAGCGGACAGGTCA UI 13ml_II_II_II_II_IZOGGGATATTCATACACACACATAAAGGCC
t0r11ml2_hp_org TTGTATCAAACGAAAGGGCATATAAGGCC
t0r11ml2_hp_orgAATTGGGGGATATTCATTACCCACGGAGAT
t0r13ml2_hp_orgGTAAGAGCAGGTAGAAAGATTCGAGTAGTA t0r15ml2_hp_orgTATTATAAAATATTCATTGAATGAGGCATA
t-1r16fl1_hp_orgTATTATAAAATATTCATTGAATGAGGCATA
t-1r16fl1_hp_org GGAAGTTTCCGGAAGCAAACTCCAATTGCATC t-1118f1_hp_org CAAAAACAACATTTCGCAAATGGTCGTCT
t-1120f1_hp_org CCTACAAAAGAACCCTCATATTGGTCGTCT
t-1122f11_hp_org CCCTTCCTAAACTAGCATGTCAATTTGAGAGA t - 1724fil_hp_org GGCCATTCACAAAGGGGGATTGACGGTCTG
t-1724fil_hp_org GGCCATTCACAAAGGGGGATTGACGGTCTG
t0r17ml_fi_hp_org ATTAGAGAATATGCAACTAAAGTTACAATAACC
t0r17ml_fi_hp_org CAGTAAAGGCAGATAAAGTCTATATATGTA
t0r17ml_fi_hp_org GAGTA t0r21ml_fl_hp_org GGAAGATTGCCATCAAAAATAATTCCGTAATG
t0r17ml2_hp_org GGAAGATTGCCATCAAAAATAATTCCGTAATG t0r23ml fl hn ore GTGTAGATTCTGGTGCCGGAAACCATGTGCTG t0r25ml2_hp_orgCACCGCTGGGCGCATCGTAACCTTAACCAA t0r27ml2_hp_orgGGATCCCAGTTGGGTAACGCCACTTTCCGG t0r21ml1_hp_orgAAACGTTATAAATCAGCTCATTTTGTGCATCT
t0r23ml1_hp_orgACACGTTATACAGCTCATTTTGTGCATCT
t0r23seam_l_hp_orgATTTTGTATATTTTGTTAAAATTTTGCTTCT t0r25seam | hp org TCAGGAAGGAGGGACGACGACAGTAACGGA1 tor23seam_l_inp_org CCAAGCTTGACGTTGTAAAACGACCATCAATA
t0r27seam_l_inp_org CCAAGCTTGACGTTGTAAAACGACCATCAATA
t0r21seam_r_hp_org GTAAATCTGTGAGTGAATAACCCGCATTAA tor23sem_r_hp_org TCGCCTGCATCGGGAGAAACAATATCGGCC
t0r23mr1_hp_org TCGCCTGCATCGGGAGAAACAATATCGGCC
t0r23mr1_hp_org TCAATATAGTCGCTATTAATTAATCTACCTTT
t0r25mr1_hp_org ACCTTTTAATTGCTTTGAATACCATTTAACAA t0r27mr1_hp_org CTGATTATGATTGTTTGGATTATATCAGATGA t0r21mr2_hp_org AGAATCCTAACAAAATTAATTACAAGTTACAA torialine_in_org.nonneledancer.com/news/1808011808

Diagram for tall rectangle

with positions of dumbbells (orange circles) and positions and lengths of loopouts (black circles with numbers) indicated

Sequence diagram for tall rectangle

 $@$ 2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

IAATCOOCAOAATAA

TAAAGCCAGAATGGAAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAGCGCAGTGAGCCACC

-AACACTCATCTTTCAAtccctttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGACGTTAGAAGGCCGC
CACCCTCATCTTTCAAtcccttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGACGTTAGAAGGCCGC
ATTTTCAGATAGTTAGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCGTAACGACAGCGAAA
CAAGGCCGGACACCACCcctcttttgaggaacaagtttt

GATAGCAGTAAAGGTGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGCAACATATTTAAGAA

GGGAGCCCCCGATTTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAGAGCTTGGCGCGGGG

TTACCGTTCCAGTAAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGCGTCATAAGAACCGC

ATCCCAATCTTACCGtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAAGCCCTTTAAAAGA

GCTTTGAGTAAACGGtctctttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGTAAAATAATAAGGC

TTTGTCGGAACCGCCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtACCCTCACTCTGAAT

11[352]-13[351] AATAATAAAAATGAAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAATAGCATACCGCGC

14[207]-12[208] GCGGATTGGGTAATAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGTAAAATCGATTTTA

14[303]-12[304] GACCTAAAATAAGGCtctctttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGTTAAATATGTCTTT

16[207]-14[208] GGATAAATAATAGTAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtGTAGCATGAAGCAAA

- 1623031-1413041 ATCGCGCAAAAGAAGtectcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtATGATGAAATCTTCT
1613031-1413041 ATCGCGCAAAAGAAGtectcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtATGATGAAATCTTCT
1712561-1912551 GCAATAAAAAAAACAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTTATGACCAGC 18[207]-16[208] TGGGCGCTTTTAACCtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtAATAGGACAACGCAA 19

19256]-21

20207] -18

20

20207]-18

S5.4. "A" origami

Core

Seg n $10[143] - 8[144]$ AGTACAACCCCACGCATAACCGATACAACTTT $10[175]-8[176]$ ${\bf ACCCCCAGGGCTTGCAGGGAGTTATAAATGA/}$ GCCAGTTAAGATAGCCGAACAAAGGTAGAAA 10[431]-8[432] 10[463]-8[464] CGCTAACGCGAGGAAACGCAATAAAGACTCCT 11[128]-13[127] AACCATCGGGAGATTTGTATCATCACTTTGAA
11[416]-13[415] AACCATCGGGAGATTTGTATCATCACTTTGAA 11[64]-8[80] GCTTGCTTTCGAGGTGAATTTCTTTAATTTT $11[96]-13[95]$ TGATACCGTCGAAATCCGCGACCTACGGTCAA 12[143]-10[144] TAACGGAAGATGAACGGTGTACAGCGAAACAA 12[175]-10[176] GGGAAGAATGGCTGACCTTCATCACATCTTTG 12[173]-10[170] GGGARGARTGGCTGACCTTGACATCTTT12
12[431]-10[432] AACAACATTCCCGACTTGCGGAGCCTAATTT
12[463]-10[464] GTAAAGTAATCAAGATTAGTTGCTCTTACCAA 13[128]-15[127] AGAGGACACAACATTATTACAGGTCTATCATA 13[288]-12[272] GTACCGCATTCCAAGAACGGGTATCGAGTAGT
13[384]-15[383] TATCCGGTAACAATAGATAAGTCCATTCTTAC 13(416)-15(915) INCONSICITATION ANNOUNCATED 13(416)-15(915) ACCGAACCGTTCAGCTAATGCAGACTAGGCC
13(96)-15(95) TCATAAGGTTGAGATTTAGGAATAAAAGGAAT
14(143)-12(144) GAGCTTCATTACCAGACGACGATAAAACGAAC 14[175]-12[176] CCGAAAGAAGAGGCTTTTGCAAAAAGGACGTT 14[175]-12[176] CCGAAAGAAGAGGCTTTTGCAAAAAGGACGT
14[239]-12[240] TCTTTACCCGGAATCGTCATAAATTTTCAACT
14[271]-15[287] AAACGAGACTCAAATGCTTTAAACACCGACCG 141367-1213681 AATCGCAACATATGCGTTATACAATGAACAAG 14[431]-12[432] TACCTTTTAATCGCCATATTTAACCGACAATA 14[463]-12[464] TGAATTTATTTAGGCAGAGGCATTGACAAAAG 14(47)-14(191)
16) GATOTA AGACCITA AGOSCITA ATTECTOR AT ACAMA 14(47)-17(31) GATOGCITA AGOSCITA ATTECTOR AT ACAMA 15(128)-17(127) ACCCTCGTA AGCGA ACCAGA ACCAGA ACAGA 15(1384)-17(383) CAGTATA AAT ATTECTA ATTECTOR AT ACACAGA 15
|1416|-17||415||TAATTGAGTAACCTCCGGCTTAGGGAATAACC
|15||96|-17||95||TACGAGGCGGTCAGGATTAGAGAGCGAACGAG 16[143]-14[144] AGATTCAACAATAACCTGTTTAGCTTTAATTC 16(175)-14(176) TAAATGCAGGCGCGAGCTGAAAAGGGAGGAAGC
16(175)-14(176) TAAATGCAGGCGCGAGCTGAAAAGGAGGAAGC
16(239)-14(240) CTTTTGCGAGGCAAAGAATTAGCAAAATCAGG 16/3351-14/3361 CGCCTGATCAAAATTAATTACATTAAAACTTT 16[367]-14[368] CCTTTTACAATTACCTTTTTTAATGCAAATCO 16[431]-14[432] ACAGAAATGTAAATCGTCGCTATTTGAGA 16[463]-14[464] ACCTACCAAGAATCCTTGAAAACAGTCAATAG GCTATTTTCGGTGTCTGGAAGTTTATTTTTGC
TCTAGCTGTTGATTCCCAATTCTGTACCTTTA $16\lbrack 47\rbrack\text{-} 14\lbrack 48\rbrack$ 16[79]-14[80] 17[128]-19[127] CAAATGGTAAGGGTGAGAAAGGCCTTGTATAA 17[120] CRAATOOTAGOOTOAGAAGOOTOTATAFA
17[32]-19[31] CTAAAGTATGAGAGATCTACAAAGCAAACAAG
17[320]-19[319] CAAGAAAATGCTTTGAATACCAAGAATTCGAC 17 384 - 19 383 | TACATAAATCAGATGAATATACAGTTATCATT 17[416]-19[415] TTGCTTCTAAAGAAATTGCGTAGAAAGGAGCG TAGATTTAACCATCAATATGATATGATAATCA 17[96]-19[95] 18[143]-16[144] AGATCGCATTAAATTGTAAACGTTGTAGGTAA 18[175]-16[176] TGAGGGGATTCGCATTAAATTTTTATATATT1
18[335]-16[336] TATCTTTATTATAATCCTTTGCCCGAACGGATT 18 367 - 16 368 | GTTGAAAGAAAAGTTTGAGTAACATAACAGTA 18[431]-16[432] TCACCTTGATCATATTCCTGATTACACGTAAA
18[463]-16[464] AGAGCCAGTCATCATATACCCTGGGTTAGA 18[79]-16[80] TCGCCATTCATATGTACCCCGGTTTCAACCGT
19[128]-21[127] GCAAATATCTCCAGCCAGCTTTCCCTCTAGAG 19[288]-18[272] TAGAAGTACAATAGATAATACATTACAACCCG 19[200]-10[272] AGAATCGAGTGCGGCCTCTTCGCCTGCAAGG
19[32]-21[31] AGAATCGAGTGCGGCCTCTTCGCCTGCAAGG 19[384]-21[383] TTGCGGAAATCTGGTCAGTTGGCATGGCTATT 19[416]-21[415] GAATTATCCTGAACCTCAAATATCGCCCTAAA 19[96]-21[95] GAAAAGCCGCCGGAAACCAGGCAACCAGTGCC 20011431-1801441 GCTGATTGGGTACCGAGCTCGAATCCTCAGGA 20[145]-16[144] GCTGATTGGGTACCGAGCTCGAATCCTCAGGTT
20[175]-18[176] GTTTTTCTTAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTTGCCAGTT
20[271]-21[287] CCCGCTTTACATTAATTGCGTTGCACGACCAG 2013351-1813361 TTTGACGCTCTGACCTGAAAGCGTATCTAAAA 20[367]-18[368] ACAGGAAAAGACAATATTTTTGAAAATCAACA 20[431]-18[432] GAAGAACTATTAAAAATACCGAACCTAAAGCA 20[463]-18[464] TTGATTAGGATAAAACAGAGGTGACCACGCTG
20[47]-18[48] TCGAAATTTGGTAACACGCCAGGGTTGGGAAC 20[79]-18[80] CCAGCAGGCGTTGTAAAACGACGGAGCGCCAT 21[128]-23[127] GATCCCCGCCCTTCACCGCCTGGCTACGTGAA 21[288]-20[272] TAATAAAACAGATTCACCAGTCACGCTCACTG
21[288]-20[272] TAATAAAACAGATTCACCAGTCACGCTCACTG
21[32]-23[31] CGATTAAGCGGCAAAATCCCTTATAGTGTTGT 21[320]-23[319] AGAACCCTTCAATCGTCTGAAATGACTATGGT
21[320]-23[383] AGTCTTTAACAATATTACCGCCAGAACAGGAG 21[416]-23[415] ACATCGCCCAAACTATCGGCCTTGGGAACGGT
21[416]-23[415] AGGCTTGCGCAAGCGGTCCACGCTCGAAAAAC 21[96]-23[95] AAGCTTGCGCAAGCGGTCACGCTCGAAAAAC
23[128]-20[144] CCATCACCCAAATCAAGTTTTTTGGGCAACA
23[160]-20[176] GGTGCCGTAAAGCACTAAATCGGACCAGGGTG 23(224)-20(240) CGAACGTGGCGAGAAAGGAAGGGAGTCGTGCC 23[256]-23[287] GAAAGGAGCGGGCGCTAGGGCGCTGCCGCGCT 23[32]-20[48] TCCAGTTTGGAACAAGAGTCCACTATGGTGGT 23[320]-20[336] TGCTTTGACGAGCACGTATAACGTACCTACAT
23[416]-20[432] ACGCCAGAATCCTGAGAAGTTTTCCTGAGTA 23[64]-20[80] AACGTGGACTCCAACGTCAAAGGGGGTTTGCC $6[143]-9[127]$ TTTAACGGGGTCAGTGCCTTGAGTGATATAAG $6[303]-6[272]$ TGACAGGAGGTTGAGGCAGGTCAGACGATTGC 6[335]-9[319] CCAGAACCACCACCAGAGCCGCCGATCACCGT 6[399]-9[383]
8[143]-6[144] CCTCCCTCAGAGCCGCCACCCTCAACCATTAG CAACAGTTGGAATAGGTGTATCACTAATAAGT 8[175]-6[176] **TTTTCTGTTAGTACCGCCACCCTCCATGGCTT** 8[239]-6[240]
8[239]-6[240] CAGCCCTCGGATAGCAAGCCCAATATCCTCAT ACAAACTAGTAACACTGAGTTTCGATTGACG 8[335]-6[336] CCAGCGCCTGAGCCATTTGGGAATAGCCGCCA GTCACAATCACCAGTAGCACCATTGAACCGCC
TATTACGCGCCTTTAGCGTCAGACAGCCCCCT 8[367]-6[368] 8[463]-6[464] 8[79]-6[80] **TCACGTTGGCGGGGTTTTGCTCAGTGCCTATT** $9[128]-11[127]$
 $9[288]-8[272]$ TATAGCCCTCAGCGGAGTGAGAATATGACAAC 9[320]-11[319] CACCGACTAAAGACAAAAGGGCGATAATATCA GGATAAGTAAGGAATTGCGAATAAAAACAGCT 9[96]-11[95] 23[352]-20[368] CGTTAGAATCAGAGCGGGAGCTACCATTGCA 23[448]-20[464] AGTGAGGCCACCGAGTAAAAGAGATACTTCT 23[96]-20[112] CGTCTATCAGGGCGATGGCCCACCCTGAGAG $6[111]-9[95]$ 6[367]-9[351] ACCCTCAGAGCCACCACCCTCAGTAGAGCCA $10[111]-8[112]$ AATTGTGATAGTTGCGCCGACAAGAAAGGA 11[160]-13[159] GTCGCTGACGATTATACCAAGCGACCAGGC 11|100|11319191913910104040406061711106A4
12|111|1448]-13|447| AGGAAACAGCGTCTTTCCAGAGGTTTTGAA
12|111]-10|112] TCATCAGGAACCGAACTGACCAGCCTGATA
12|399]-10|400] TGTTTATCATTCTAAGAACGGAATTATTT 13[160]-15[159] GCATAGGCAAATCTACGTTAATAAAAACCA 13[256]-15[255] TTGCCCTGCTTGAGATGGTTTAAATTCATT 13[448]-15[447] GCCTTAAATTCTGTCCAGACGAAACGCCAA

14[111]-12[112] TCCAACAATAGTAAGAGCAACAAGAAAGA1

 $6[400]$ **AACGCAAAGAAACGTtcctcttt** gttttcttgtCACCAATGGGAACCG © 2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.

8[207]-6[208]

6[239]-9[223]

 $6[431] - 9[415]$
 $8[431] - 6[432]$

9[192]-11[191]

9[152]-11[151]
9[224]-11[223]
9[384]-11[383]

9[416]-11[415]

6[207]-9[191]

6[463]-9[447]

 $\begin{array}{c} 10[207] - 8[208] \\ 10[303] - 8[304] \end{array}$

10[399]-8[400]

 $23[192] - 20[208]$

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

Positions of dumbbells are indicated by the red-colored staple strands (28-nt dumbbell hairpin sequences are inserted in the middle of each staple strand. See sequence list.)

$S5.5.$ "B" origami

Core

Seg n Sequence 1001431-801441 TTTGTATCTCGCCCACGCATAACCATAATAAT ATACCAAGTGAGGCTTGCAGGGAGGAATAGAA $10[175]-8[176]$ 10[271]-11[287] GGGTAAAATTCATGAGGAAGTTTCAAAGAACT 10[335]-8[336] CCGAACAAACGTAGAAAATACATAAATCACCA 10[353]-0[350] 10[431]-8[432] 11/128-13/1271 AACAACCAATCGCCTGATAAATTGACAGATGA 11[192]-13[191] CGCTTTTGACTCATCTTTGACCCCCAAGAACC 11/2881-10/2721 GGCATGATAATAACGGAATACCCACATTAAAC 11384-133831 ACGGAATACCCAATAATAAGAGCATAAACAGC 11[364]-13[363] ACGGARTACCCARTARTARGAGCATAARCAGO
11[416]-13[415] GAAAATTCCAGAGAGATAACCCACTAAGAAAO 11[96]-13[95] 11|96|-13|95| GCITGATACCIGCICCATGITACIAGGGAACC
12|143|-10|144| TTCATCAGCAGACCAGGCGCATAGAACGGAGA 12[175]-10[176] TAACGGAATCAAGAGTAATCTTGACAGCGATT
12[175]-10[176] TAACGGAATCAAGAGTAATCTTGACAGCGATT
12[271]-13[287] TTAATCATGCTTGAGATGGTTTAAATTAGTTG 12(315)-101361 GAGGGTTCAACGCTAACGAGGTCGAAGCCC
12[335]-10[336] GAGGCGTTCAACGCTAACGACGTCGAAGCCC
12[357]-10[368] ATTACCGCTTTGCCAGTTACAAAAAGAAACAA
12[431]-10[432] ATTAAACCGTTTACCGCTAAAAATAATTGAGC 12[163]-10[164] GCTGTCTTTACAGAGAGAATAACATAACTGAA 12[463]-10[464] GCTGTCTTTACAGAGAGAATAACATAACTGAA
13[128]-15[127] ACGGTGTATTGAGATTTAGGAATAAAAAACCA
13[192]-15[191] GGATATTCAAAATCTACGTTAATAGTTTAGAC 13 384 - 15 383 CATATTATGTTTTATTTCATCGGTCCAGAC 13[416]-15[415] GATTTTTTAAGTACCGCACTCATCGCTAATGC 13[96]-15[95] GAACTGACGCAGATACATAACGCCCTATCATA $A[421] 12[421] 13[422] 14[40] 15[42] 15[42] 16[42] 17[42] 18[42] 19[42] 1$ 14(453)-12(454) TTAGTTAAAAGAAAAATAATATCCAATAATCG 14[47]-17[31] GCTGAATATAATGCTGTAGCTCAAGTCTGGAA 15[128]-17[127] GCTGAATATAATGCTGTAGCTCAAGTCTGGAA
15[128]-17[127] AAATAGCGAAACTCCAACAGGTCAAACCTGTT
15[192]-17[191] TGGATAGCAAGCCCGAAAGACTTCAGTAGTAG 15 384 - 17 383 GACGACAAAATAAGAATAAACACCATTTATCA 1313041-1713031 SAGAGGGGAARATAACCAACCATCATTITAA
15[416]-17[415] AGAACGGGAARATAACCAACCATGTITTAA
15[96]-17[95] ACCCTCGTTTTAATTGCTCCTTTTGACCATTA
16[143]-14[144] AGAAAGGCTTTTCATTTGGGGCGCGAACCAGA 16[175]-14[176] AGTAATGTCATCATTCTACTAATAAATATCG
16[271]-17[287] TTATGACCGCTAAATCGGTTGTACTTCTGTAA 16[431]-14[432] CGGGAGAATTAGGTTGGGTTATATTAAATTTA 10(491)-14(492) LONDONATH MOUTH ON THATHATH 16(463)-14(464) GATGARACCARE CARRA TAIT 16(47)-14(48) TCTACARACCARE ACCARE TEAM TAIT 16(79)-14(80) AATGCCGGCGAGTAGATTTAGTTTGATAGAGG 17[128]-19[127] TAGCTATACGGAGACAGTCAAATCTTTAAATT 17[192]-19[191] CATTAACACATATATTTTAAATGCTTTTTAAC 17 384 - 19 383 | AAATCATAACCAAGTTACAAAATCGCAATTCA 17 [364] 19 [31] CONCORRECTATION AND COMMITMENT 17 [416] - 19 [415] CONCORRECT 17 [36] GATACATTITCAACCGTTCTAGCTCCCAAAAA 191431-1611441 CTTCTGGTTAATATTTTGTTAAAAAAAGGGTG
18[143]-16[144] CTTCTGGTTAATATTTTGTTAAAAAAAGGGTG
18[175]-16[176] AGATCGCATGTTAAATCAGCTCATAATGCCTG
18[271]-19[287] GACCGTAACTCCGTGGGAACAAACATTTTAAA 18[431]-16[432] CAATCAATATGGAAGGGTTAGAACTTTTACAT 19(79)-16(80) GGCGATCGTGATAATCAGAAAAGCGATAAATT
19(79)-16(80) GGCGATCGTGATAATCAGAAAGCGATAAATT
19(128)-21(127) GTAAACGTGCCGGAAACCAGCCACCAGTGCC 19[192]-21[191] CAATAGGAACGACGACAGTATCGGTCGTAATC 19[288]-18[272] AGTTTGAGTGCCCGAACGTTATTAGGCGGATT 19[32]-16[48] TAATCGTAAAACTAGCATGTCAATTTGAGAGA 198201-2182191 AAGAAACCCAAACAATTCGACAACCCCTAAAA 19(320)-21(319) ARGARACCCARACARTICGACARCCCCIARA
19(384)-21(383) TCAATATAGGAATTGAGGAAGGTTGCGGTCAC
19(416)-21(415) TCTGAATAATCTGGTCAGTTGGCACCACGCTG 19[96]-21[95] CAGGAAGATCAGGCTGCGCAACTGTTTTCCCA 20[143]-18[144] GTTTTTCTATGCCTGCAGGTCGACCGGCACCG 201751-1811761 AGAGGCGGGGTACCGAGCTCGAATCCTCAGGA 20(431)-19(492) ATACCTACCAGCAGATGAAAAATCCAACCCC
20(431)-18(432) ATACCTACCAGCAAATGAAAAATCCAACCCC
20(79)-18(80) AGTTGCAGTTGGGTAACGCCAGGGTTGGGAAG 21|192|-23|191| ANGELISTIAN TO ANGELISTIC PRESENTATION CONTRACT PRESENT AND 21|192|-23|191| ATGGTCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGAAAAACC
21|384|-23|383| TATTAACATTTACATTGGCAGATTCATCCTT
21|416|-23|415| AGAGCCAGATTTTGACGCTCAATCATCGGCCT 21[96]-23[95] GTCACGACCCCTTCACCGCCTGGCAAATCAAA
23[128]-20[144] CCAGTTTGGAACAAGAGTCCACTACCAGGGTG 23[160]-20[176] ACGTGGACTCCAACGTCAAAGGGCGCGCGGGG 23[416]-20[432] TGCTGGTAATATCCAGAACAATATTCATGGAA TCCGAAATATCCAGAACAATATTCATGGAA
TCCGAAATCGGCAAAATCCCTTATCCTGAGAG
GATTAGGATTAGCGGGGTTTTGCTCTCAGAAC 23[410]-20[43.
23[64]-20[80] 6[143]-9[127] $6[335] - 9[319]$ GAATTTACCGTTCCAGTAAGCGTCTTTTCGGT $6[399]-9[383]$ TCAGACGATTGGCCTTGATATTCAGAGCCACC 6[431]-9[415] GCCGCCAGCATTGACAGGAGGTTGCGCCACCC $8[143] - 6[144]$
 $8[175] - 6[176]$ TTTTTCACTCAGAACCGCCACCCTAAGAGAAGAGGAACAGATTTTCACTCAGAACCGCACCCTAAGGAAG 8[335]-6[336] TGAAACCACCTTATTAGCGTTTGCGGAAAGCG 88871-6888 CATTEGGAAAATCACCGGAACCACAAACAAA 8[431]-6[432] GGAAGGTAGCCACCCTCAGAGCCACCAGAGCC 9[128]-11[127] CGCCACCCGTTGAAAATCTCCAAAACAATGAC $9[192]-11[191]$
 $9[384]-11[383]$ TAGGAACCAGTTTCAGCGGAGTGATTAAAGGC ACCGGAACATTATCACCGTCACCGAAGACACC 9[416]-11[415] **TCAGAACCAATATTGACGGAAATTAATCAATA** 9[96]-11[95] TCAGARCCAATATTGACGOAATTAATCAATA
10[463]-13[447] CACCCTGAACAAAGTCAGAGGGTGAAAATAG 19 448 - 16 464 TCAAAATTATTTGCACGTAAAACTAACGTCA 23[352]-20[368] AATACTTCTTTGATTAGTAATAACACCAGTC
23[352]-20[368] AATACTTCTTTGATTAGTAATAACACCAGTC
23[96]-20[112] AGAATAGCCCGAGATAGGGTTGAGGCAACAG 6[111]-9[95] GGCGGATAAGTGCCGTCGAGAGGCACCGTAC 6[207]-9[191]
6[367]-9[351] ATTTCGGAACCTATTATTCTGAAAAGCCCAA 10[111]-8[112] TCCGCGACCGATAGTTGCGCCGAAAAAGGC GAGTTAAGAGTTTATTTTGTCACATTCATT 10[399]-8[400] 11[160]-13[159] TCGGTCGCCGCGAAACAAAGTACGCTGGCT 11(256)-13(255) AAGACTTTTACGTAATGCCACTACGAGTAC 11[352]-13[351] TGGCAACCAATAGCTATCTTACCTTTCCAG
12[111]-10[112] AACTAATCAACTTTGAAAGGGTGTCGAAA 12[399]-10[400] AGCAAGCCTTATCCCAATCCAAAAAGAATT 13[160]-15[159] GACCTTCACAACATTATTACAGGGAAGTT 13||2017|19129||MCCILALANACAATAATAAN
|13||352]-15||351|| AGCCTAAGCCCAATAGCAAGCAATAAGTA
|13||48||-15||447|| CAGCCTTTCCTTATCATTCCAAAGATAAGT
|14||11||-12||112|| GAGTCTTACAACAACAATGTTCAGAGAACA
|14||79|-17||63|| GTCATTTTTGCGGAT 15[160]-17[159] TGCCAGAGTTCGAGCTTCAAAGCGAGCTGA 15[448]-17[447] CCTGAACTTTCATCTTCTGACCAACTATAT 16[111]-14[112] TATGATATCGCAAATGGTCAATGGATTAGA

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO

ACCOOL AACOOAL ATATATCTTATTAATTAATTTCCCCTCA

Right Edge

Left Edge

pin-labeled staples (hairpin sequence in lowercase)

AGCGACAGGCGTTTTtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtCATCGGCAATACATG

CAGAGAGCTCCTCTTteet

8[303]-6[304]

.
[256]-11[255

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

Positions of dumbbells are indicated by the red-colored staple strands (28-nt dumbbell hairpin sequences are inserted in the middle of each staple strand. See sequence list.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

"C" origami S5.6.

Core

Left Edge

.
Labeled stealer (believing concerns la levin) $\ddot{}$ \mathbf{r}

DESCRIPS AND AN AGE AND AN ALL PROPERTY OF A SUPPRET OF 2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Positions of dumbbells are indicated by the red-colored staple strands (28-nt dumbbell hairpin sequences are inserted in the middle of each staple strand. See sequence list.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO

S5.7. "D" origami

Core

Seg name 6[111]-9[95]
6[111]-9[95]
6[143]-9[127] CGGAACCTATTATTCTGAAACATATATAAGT CCGTATAAACAGTTAATGCCCCCCTCGTACTCA 6[175]-9[159] TTAACGGGGTCAGTGCCTTGAGTGAACCGC $6[207]-9[191]$ TGATGATACAGGAGTGTACTGGTAGCCACC 6[239]-9[223] TTACCGTTCCAGTAAGCGTCATACCCCAATAC 6[271]-9[255] AAAGCCAGAATGGAAAGCGCAGTTCGTCAC $6[303]-6[272]$ COTTGATATTCACAAAGCGCAGTTCGTCAC
GCCTTGATATTCACAAACAAATAAATCCTCATT
GACAGGAGGTTGAGGCAGGTCAGATAGCAAGG 6[335]-9[319] 6[367]-9[351] TCAGAGCCACCACCTCAGAGCCTCGATAGC $6[399]-9[383]$ CONSIGNMENT CONTRACTS 6[431]-9[415] CCGGAACCAGAGCCACCACCGGAACGCGTTTT $6[79]-9[63]$
 $8[111]-6[112]$ TAAGAGGCTGAGACTCCTCAAGAACCAGGC TTTTCACGAATAGGTGTATCACGCCTATT 8[143]-6[144] AGGAACAAAGTACCGCCACCCTCAAACAGTGC $8[175]-6[176]$ TTTCAACAGAACCGCCACCCTCAGAATAAGTT $8[335] - 6[336]$ ATTCATTAGTCACCAATGAAACCAGCCACCAG 8[367]-6[368] 8[399]-6[400]
8[431]-6[432] GTTTACCAAGCGTCAGACTGTAGCCGCCTC ATTTTGTCTTTTCGGTCATAGCCCCAAAATCA 8[79]-6[80] TCCAAAAGGCCGTCGAGAGGGTTGGAAAGTAT $9[128]-11[127]$
 $9[160]-11[159]$ COACCTTTCTAAACCAATTCCCAACCATATAT GGAGGTTTCAAAGGAATTGCGAACGATATA
CACCCTCAGTTTCAGCGGAGTGATTAAAGG
AGCACCGTTGAGGGAGGGAAGGTAATAACG 9[352]-11[351] 9[384]-11[383] TTGCCTTTGCGCCAAAGACAAAAGATTAAGAC $9[416]-11[415]$ CATCGGCAACAATCAATAGAAAATAAACGTAG 9[64]-11[63] GGATAAGTGAGCCTTTAATTGTACTTAAAC $9[96] - 11[95]$
 $10[111] - 8[112]$ ATAGCCCGGTTGAAAATCTCCAAAACAATGAC CCTGATAATGAGGCTTGCAGGGAGGAATAGAA 10[143]-8[144] $10[175] - 8[176]$
 $10[367] - 8[368]$ AAACAAAGGCGGGATCGTCACCCTTAAACAAC TGAGCGCTCAAAAGAACTGGCATGGCGACAT 10[399]-8[400] ACTGAACAACGCAGTATGTTAGCTCATATG 10[431]-8[432]
10[47]-13[31] AAACAGGGTACATAAAGGTGCAAATAAGTTT 10[79]-8[80] CGGTCAATACCGATAGTTGCGCCGAAAAAGGC 11[128]-13[127] TCGGTCGCATTGTGTCGAAATCCGAGAACAC
11[160]-13[159] CCGCTTTTTACAACGGAGATTTGGAGATGG 11[192]-13[191] AAAGACAGCCCCCAGCGATTATACGAATTACC 11[152]-13[151] AANGACAGCCCCCAGCGATTATACGAATTACC
11[256]-13[255] TGAGGAAGCTACGAAGGCACCAACTACGTT
11[288]-10[272] GAAAAGTACTATCTTACCGAAGCCAAAATACG 11[384]-13[383] TCCTTATTCCCTGAACAAAGTCAGAAAATAAA 11|416|-13|415| AAAATACAAAGCGCATTAGACGGGCAAATAAG
11|416|-13|415| AAAATACAAAGCGCATTAGACGGGCAAATAAG $11[96] - 13[95]$ AACAACCATACTTAGCCGGAACGAGCTCATTC 11[50]-15[53] AACAACCATACTIAGCCGGAACGAGCTCATTC
12[111]-10[112] AAAAGAAAGGCTTGCCCTGACGCGACCTGC
12[143]-10[144] CGATAAAAGTAGTAAATTGGGCTTTATCATCG 12[175]-10[176] AACACTATCAACTTTAATCATTGTCAAGCGCG 12
[239]-10[240] AATACCACCGTTGGGAAGAAAATCCTAAAAC
12[271]-13[287] AGGTAGAAGAACTAACGGAAGAACACAAGATTA 12[303]-10[304] GCGGGAGGTTTGCACCCAGCTACATGAAAT 12[367]-10[368] AGGAATCACTAATTTGCCAGTTACAGGGTAAT 12[399]-10[400] GAGAACAATTATTTATCCCAATCAGAATTA $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{12} & \textbf{33} & \textbf{31} & \textbf{34} & \textbf{45} \\ \textbf{12} & \textbf{33} & \textbf{31} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} \\ \textbf{13} & \textbf{13} & \textbf{13} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} \\ \textbf{14} & \textbf{13} & \textbf{14} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} & \textbf{10} \\ \textbf{15} & \textbf{16} & \textbf{17} & \textbf{$ 13[128]-15[127] CAGAACGAACCAAAATAGCGAGAGCCCGAAAG 13[160]-15[159] TTTAATTTCATAACCCTCGTTTAGAGCTTC 13[192]-15[191] TTATGCGAGGAATTACGAGGCATACTCCAACA 13(256)-15(255) AATAAAACAGATTCATCAGTTGATGTTTTA
13(288)-12(272) GTTGCTATTTTTGAAGCCTTAAATATTATTAC AGAGTAATTCATAAATATTCATTGAAAACGAG 13[32]-15[31] 13[32]-13[31] AGAGTAATTCATAARTICATTGAAAACGAG 13₁364₁-15₁363₁ CAGCCATAGCAAGCCGTTTTTATTAACAATAC
13[416]-15[415] AAACGATTTTAAACCAAGTACCGCATATCCCA
13[64]-15[63] TACCCAAAAGACTGGATAGCGTCTCTTTAC 13(96)-15(95) AGTGAATAGTTTTGCCAGAGGGGGAGCGGATT
14(111)-12(112) TAACATCAAGATTTAGGGGAAGGCTTTTGC 14[143]-12[144] GGTGGCATTATCGCGTTTTAATTCCCAGACGA 14[175] 12[176] CTATATTTCCAGACCGGAAGCAAAGTAAGAGC
14[239]-12[240] GCGAACGAATGCTGTAGCTCAACAGATTTAGG 14(271)-15(287) TCATTCCAACTAAAGTACGGTGTCGAGAATAT 14(303)-12(304) GAGGCATTGACAAAAGGTAAAGTCCGACTT 14[367]-12[368] AAAGCCAAAACGCGCCTGTTTATCTTCATCGT
14[399]-12[400] ATCATATGTGAACAAGAAAATAACTCATC 1922)
1941-124421 AATCATAATACGAGCATGTAGAAAATTCCAAG
15[128]-17[127] ACTTCAAACAATTCTACTAATAGTCCAAAAC
15[128]-17[127] ACTTCAAACAATTCTACTAATAGTCCAAAAAC 15(256)-17(255) AATATGCATATAACAGTTGATTCGAAAGGC 15[236]-14[272] AAAGTACCTTCGAGCCAGTAATAATGGAAGTT $15[32]-12[48]$ AATGACCATAAATCAAAAATCAGGCAATACTG $15[410j + 12[80]$
 $15[64]-12[80]$ CCTGACTATTATAGTCAGAAGCAATAATAGTA
GCATCAAACAATAAATCATACAGGAGCCTCAG 15[96]-17[95] GCATCAAACAATAAATCATACAGGAGCCT
15[96]-17[95] GCATCAAACAATAAATCATACAGGAGCCT
16[111]-14[112] ATAATCAGCTAAATCGGTTTTACGCTCAA 16[143]-14[144] AACTAGCACCTGTAATACTTTTGCGCTGAAAA 16[175]-14[176] AACAAGATTCAACGCAAGGATAACTGTTTAG
16[239]-14[240] AGAGGGTAAGATTCAAAGGGTGACCAATTCT 16[271]-17[287] TCAACCGTGTCAAATCACCATCAACTACCTTT 10[201]-14[304] TATCABAACGGCTTAGGTTGGGTTTAGCA
16[303]-14[304] TATCABAACGGCTTAGGTTGGGTTTAGCA
16[305]-14[308] AGTACATAGAACGCGAGAAAACTTACCAGTAT
16[399]-14[400] ATTTCATTGTTAATTTCATCTTCGTTTAGT 16[431]-14[432] ATCAAGAAGTTTGAAATACCGACCAACACCGG 17[128]-19[127] ATTATGACTGTCAATCATATGTACAATTTTTG 17[160]-19[159] GCCTTTATGAATCGATGAACGGTATAGGAA 17[224]-19[223] GTAGGTAAGCTATTTTGAGAGATAAATGTGA
17[224]-19[223] GTAGGTAAGCTATTTTGAGAGATAAATGTGA 17[288]-16[272] TTAACCTCTCATAGGTCTGAGAGATATGATAT 17[352]-19[351] AGACAAAAATCAATATATGTGAGTAACAGT 17[384]-19[383] ATATTTTATGAATTACCTTTTTTATAACGGAT 17(416)-19(945) ATTTAATGAACAAAATTAATTACAAGTTACA
17(416)-19(415) ATTTAATGAACAAAATTAATTACAAGTTACA
17(96)-19(95) AGCATAAAGAAAAGCCCCAAAAACAAACGTTA
18(111)-16(112) CTCTTCGTTAAAATTCGCATTACCCGGTTG 18[143]-16[144] CGCAACTGGCTCATTTTTTAACCAAATCGTAA 18[175]-16[176] COAACTOOCTCATTTTTAACCAAATCOTAA
18[175]-16[176] CCAGGCAAAAATAATTCGCGTCTTCTGGAGC
18[239]-16[240] AGTATCGGGGCGGATTGACCGTAAAATGCCGG 18[399]-16[400] AGAAACCATTGCTTTGAATACCATTTAACA 18[431]-16[432] GTTTGAGTAGAGGCGAATTATTCAAAACAAA

......
ACGATCTA

LACCO
AAATATI

 $\frac{1}{1}$

146644

CGTGG

TTCA

9[320]-11[319] CCGGAAACAAGGTGAAtcctcttttgaggaacaagttttcttgtTTATCACCAAAGTTAC

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

Positions of dumbbells are indicated by the red-colored staple strands (28-nt dumbbell hairpin sequences are inserted in the middle of each staple strand. See sequence list.)

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

85.8. 60° corner origami with straight edges

plate flat-v-top-core (arm1) A1,flatv-h1-b32,AATATTTTATGGGATAGGTCACGTTCTGCCAG B1,flatv-h3-b32,TTTGAGGGAACGACGGCCAGTGCCGGATCCCC C1,flatv-h5-b32,GGGTACCGGCAGCAAGCGGTCCACTTTGATGG D1,flatv-h7-b32,TGGTTCCGTCAATCGTCTGAAATGCACGACCA
E1,flatv-h9-b32,GTAATAAAAACAATTCGACAACTCTTTTAAAA
F1,flatv-h11-b32,GTTTGAGTTGAAAACATAGCGATAAGTGAATT G1,flatv-h13-b32,TATCAAAACCAAGTACCGCACTCATCGTAGGAATCATTAC A2,flatv-h1-b48,TCGCATTACCCGGTTGATAATCAGAAAAGCCCCAAAAACATAAACGTT B2,flatv-h3-b48,CAGTATCGCGGCGGATTGACCGTAGTTAAAAT C2,flatv-h5-b48,TTCGTAATAGTCACGACGTTGTAAGACGACGA D2,flatv-h7-b48,AAAATCCCTGGCCCTGAGAGAGTTAGCTCGAA E2,flatv-h9-b48,TCTGGCCAACCTACATTTTGACGCAAATCGGC F2,flatv-h11-b48,CATTTTGCAGTATTAGACTTTACAAGGGACAT G2,flatv-h13-b48,CTGAGAGATTTCCCTTAGAATCCTAACATTAT H2,flatv-h15-b40,CGCGCCCAATAGCAAGAAGAACGGGTATTAAATCATAGGT
A3,flatv-h1-b64,GTTAAATCCTCCGTGGGAACAAAGCCTCAG
B3,flatv-h3-b64,GAAGATCGCGCCAGGGTTTTCCCCATGGTC
C3,flatv-h5-b64,ATAGCTGTTTGCCCTTCACCGCCTTATAAA D3,flatv-h7-b64,TCAAAAGAAACGCTCATGGAAATACAGAGA E3,flatv-h9-b64,TAGAACCCATTTGAGGATTTAGAGGAACAA F3,flatv-h11-b64,AGAAACCATCGCTATTAATTAATCTACCTT G3,flatv-h13-b64,TTTAACCTTTTCCTTATCATTCCCAAATCAGATATAGA A4,flatv-h1-b80,TTTTAACCATCGTAAAACTAGCATGTCAATCATATGTACAATTTTT B4,flatv-h3-b80,CCAGCTTTTAAATGTGGTCGGATTAGCTCATT C4,flatv-h5-b80,TGAAATTGCTGCAAGGTTGGGTAACACTCCAG D4,flatv-h7-b80,AGATAGGGGGCGCCAGACAGCTGATTCCTGTG E4,flatv-h9-b80,TGAAAGCGCTAGGGCGACAGGAAAATAGCCCG F4,flatv-h11-b80,AGCGGAATAATAGATTGATAATACTTCTGACC G4,flatv-h13-b80,GGTTGGGTATAAATCAGTAAATCGCCAGAAGG H4,flatv-h15-b72,AGGCTTATCGGTATTCAAGAAAAACGGCTGTCCCGGCTTA A5,flatv-h3-b96,GCTTCTGGGCGAAAGGGGGATGTGTTATCCGC
B5,flatv-h5-b96,TCACAATTGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGTTGAGTGT
C5,flatv-h7-b96,TGTTCCAGGCGAAAGGAGCGGGCGTAAGAATA D5,flatv-h9-b96,CGTGGCACAGGAGCACTAACAACTTATCATCA E5,flatv-h11-b96,TATTCCTGTTAATGGAAACAGTACTATATAAC
F5,flatv-h13-b96,TATATGTATAGATAAGTCCTGAACTAAGAACGCGGGGGGG
A6,flatv-h5-b112,ACATACGACTATTACGCCAGCTGTGCCGGA B6,flatv-h7-b112,CAAGAGTCCAACGCGCGGGGAGACCACACA C6,flatv-h9-b112,ATTTTTGAAAGGAAGGGAAGAAATTTGGAA D6,flatv-h11-b112,GATGATGGATCTAAAATATCTTTAGACAAT E6,flatv-h13-b112,ATGCAAATTTTGAATTACCTTTTATTATCA F6,flatv-h15-b104,TTTAGCGAACCTCCCCCTGTTTATCAACAAAATGCTG A7,flatv-h3-b120,AAAGCGCCATTCGCCAGGTGCGGGCCTCTTCGGCCGGAAG B7,flatv-h5-b128,CATAAAGTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCACTATTA C7,flatv-h7-b128,AAGAACGTGGCGAACGTGGCGAGAATGGCTAT D7,flatv-h9-b128,TAGTCTTTGGAATTGAGGAAGGTTCAATTCAT E7,flatv-h11-b128,CAATATAAACATTTAACAATTTCACCAATCGC F7,flatv-h13-b128,AAGACAAAGCTAATGCAGAACGCGGACTTGCGGGAGGTTT A8,flatv-h7-b144,ACGTCAAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGTAAAGCC B8,flatv-h9-b144,AACTGATACTTGACGGGGAAAGCCGGACTCCA
C8,flatv-h11-b144,GTTTGGATAAATCAACAGTTGAAAATTA
D8,flatv-h13-b144,GAAAACTTGAAAACAAAATTAATTTCCTGATT
E8,flatv-h15-b136,TGAAGCCTTAAATCAAATAAACAACATGTTCAGAACGCGA A9,flatv-h5-b152,CTAATGAGTGAGCTACTTTCCAGTCGGGAAGGGCGAA B9,flatv-h7-b160,AAACCGTCGCCCCCGATTTAGAGGCCCTAA C9,flatv-h9-b160,AACATCGCATCTGGTCAGTTGGCTATACTT
D9,flatv-h11-b160,CTGAATAATGAAACAACACATCAATTTCAAA
E9,flatv-h13-b160,TATATTTTGTCCAGACGACGACACAATTTAGTTGCTATTT
A10,flatv-h9-b176,ATACCGAACGGAACCCTAAAGGGATATCAGGG B10,flatv-h11-b176,TTAGAACCCAAACCCTCAATCAATCATTAAAA
C10,flatv-h13-b176,TCATCTTCGAGCAAACGAAGATGATGGAAGGG
D10,flatv-h15-b168,TGCACCCAGCTACAATAAGGTAAAGTAATTCTAGTTAATT
E10,flatv-h9-b192,CAGCAGAAGCTGAACCTCAAATATTACCATAT
F10,fla G10,flatv-h11-b192,CAAAATTATTCATTTCAATTACCTTGACCTAA H10,flatv-h13-b192,ATTTAATGTATAAAGTACCGACAATTTATCCTGAATCTTA A11,flatv-h11-b208,GTAAAACACTAAAGCATCACCTTGATAAAA
B11,flatv-h13-b208,ATACCGACCGCAGAGGGCGAATTATTTGCAC
C11,flatv-h15-b2100,CCAACGCTAACGAGCCCAGTAATAGAGAAAGTTTGAA
D11,flatv-h9-b216,AGGCGGTCAGTATTAAGCAGCAAATGAAAAATGAAATAAA E11,flatv-h11-b224,GAAATTGCCCAAGTTACAAAATCGCGTGTGAT F11,flatv-h13-b224,AAATAAGGCAGAGGCATTTTCGAGGTCTTTCCAGAGCCTA G11,flatv-h13-b240,AAGAATAACTGATTGCTTTGAATAGTAGATTT H11,flatv-h15-b232,ATTTGCCAGTTACAAACAACATGTAATTTAGGCGTTAAAT A12,flatv-h11-b248,AACGTCAGATGAATACAATAACGGATTCGCACACCGG
B12,flatv-h13-b256,AATCATAACATATTTAACAACGCATAAACAGCCATATT
C12,flatv-h15-b254,ATTTATCCCAATCCAACTTAATTGAGAATCGCTTACTAGA
D12,flatv-h13-b280,GTTTAGTATCATATGCACGCTCAACAGT

plate flat-v-bottom-core (arm 2) A1,flatv-h16-b39,ATACATAAAGGTGGCATAAGTTTATTTTGTCAGAATTTAC B1,flatv-h18-b31,CGTTCCAGAGTGTACTGGTAATAAGTGAGAAT
C1,flatv-h20-b31,AGAAAGGACACGTTGAAAATCTCCCCTGCTCC
D1,flatv-h22-b31,ATGTTACTAGGGAACCGAACTGACATACCACA
E1,flatv-h26-b31,TTCAAAGAGGATTAGAGAGTACCAAAGGTGG
F1,flatv-h26-b31,CTTCAA G1,flatv-h28-b31,CATCAATTATCATACAGGCAAGGCGTGTAGGT H1, flatv-h30-b31, AAAGATTCCACCATCAATATGATATTCAACCGTTCTAGCCAATGCCT
A2, flatv-h18-b47, AGTCTCTCAATCAATAGAAAATAAACGTAGAAAATAC
B2, flatv-h20-b47, CAGCGGAAATTTTAACGGGGTCAGAAAGCGC
C2, flatv-h24-b47, TTTAGGACAAAAAAAGGCTCCAAACAGT E2,flatv-h26-b47,GCGTTTTCACTATCATAACCCTAGTTGAGA F2,flatv-h28-b47,GAGCTGATTTAATTGCTCCTTTCAAATATC
G2,flatv-h30-b47,GAGTAATAAAGAATTAGCAAAATGGGGCGC
A3,flatv-h16-b57,TACGCAGTATGTTAGCTCATATGGTTTACCAGCATTAAAG
B3,flatv-h20-b63,CAACTTTCAAAGGAGCCTTTAATTATCGCCTG
C3,flatv-h20-b63,C D3,flatv-h22-b63,ATAAATTGACAGATGAACGGTGTAGGTAGAAA E3,flatv-h24-b63,GATTCATCCGTTTACCAGACGACGGAAGCCCG F3,flatv-h26-b63,AAAGACTTTGATAAGAGGTCATTTTAGCTATA G3,flatv-h28-b63,TTTTCATTTTAAGCAATAAAGCCTTCATATAT H3,flatv-h30-b63,TTTAAATGTGATAAATTAATGCCGGAGAGGGTGTCATTGCAAAATTTT A4,flatv-h18-b79,TAAATCCTCGCCAAAGGAGGGAGGGATTAAGACTCCTTAT B4,flatv-h20-b79,ATGGGATTTGCCCGTATTTCGGAACAAACAAA C4,flatv-h22-b79,TTTGTATCGTATCGGTTACCGATATTTTCTGT D4,flatv-h24-b79,TTATTACACAGACCAGTAGGCTGGAACGGAGA E4,flatv-h26-b79,ATTAAGAGATAAAAACTTTTGCAAGAACAACA F4,flatv-h28-b79,AACCTGTTTTGCGGATTAATGCTGTCAAAAAG
G4,flatv-h30-b79,TAGAACCCCAGAGCATCGGTTGTATAGGTCAAT
A5,flatv-h16-b103,CAAAAGAACTGGCATGAAGGTAAATATTGATGGCCTTC
B5,flatv-h18-b95,ATATTCACCTATTATTCTGAAAACGTTAGT C5,flatv-h20-b95,AAATGAAGTTGCGCCGACAATGCGCGAAAC D5,flatv-h22-b95,AAAGTACCTGACCTTCATCAAGTAAAACGA E5,flatv-h24-b95,ACTAACGAAGAAGTTTTGCCAGGCAAAGCG
F5,flatv-h26-b95,GATTGCATAGCTCAACATGTTTGATACATT
A6,flatv-h18-b111,CAGACGATCGGAAATTATTCATTAATAATAACGGAATACC B6,flatv-h20-b111,CTTTCCAGCATGAAAGTATTAAGAAGGCAGGT C6,flatv-h22-b111,ATACCAAGACAACAACCATCGCCCTTTGTCGT D6,flatv-h24-b111,TACGTTAAAGTAATCTTGACAAGACAGCGATT E6,flatv-h26-b111,AGTCAGAAAGGGGGTAATAGTAAAGAAAAATC F6,flatv-h28-b111,GACCATTATAAATATGCAACTAAAACTATTAT A7,flatv-h16-b135,AAACCGAGGAAACGCAAAGGTGAATTATCACCCATTGACA B7,flatv-h18-b127,GGAGGTTGGGCTGAGACTCCTCAACGTAACGA C7,flatv-h20-b127,TCTAAAGTACGCATAACCGATATAACTCATCT D7,flatv-h22-b127,TTGACCCCACCGGATATTCATTACGTCAGGAC E7,flatv-h24-b127,GTTGGGAAATGTTTAGACTGGATATCAGGTCT F7,flatv-h26-b127,TTACCCTGGTACGGTGTCTGGAAGTCTGCGAACGAGTAGA A8,flatv-h18-b143,CCGCCAGGTCACCGACTTGAGCAAAGTTACCAGAAGG B8,flatv-h20-b143,TAGTTAGGAGAAGGATTAGGATCAGAGCCG C8,flatv-h22-b143,CTAAAACTTCGGTCGCTGAGGCAGCCCTCA D8,flatv-h24-b143,TATACCACCAAATCAACGTAACAGAATACA E8,flatv-h26-b143,TCAAAAAGCGTCCAATACTGCGTGGCTCAT A9,flatv-h16-b167,AAGCAGATAGCCGAACCATTTGGGAATTAGAGCCACCAGA
B9,flatv-h18-b159,ACCACCACTAGCGGAACCATTTGGTCGTAGCATT
C9,flatv-h20-b159,CCACCACTTGCAGGGAGTTTAGAGAAACGATT
D9,flatv-h22-b159,GAGGCAAAAAAGCTGCTCATTCAGATGCGATT
E9,flatv B10,flatv-h20-b175,CAACGCCTAGTACCAGGCGGATAAACCACCCT C10,flatv-h22-b175,CCAACCTAGCCGCTTTTGCGGGATACAAACTA D10,flatv-h24-b175,ATTACCTTTGAATAAGGCTTGCCCGGAAGGCA
E10,flatv-h16-b199,CTATCTTACCGAAGCTAGCACCATTACCATGCCACCCT
F10,flatv-h18-b191,CAGAGCCGTGCGTCGAGAGGGAGTTTCGT
G10,flatv-h22-b191,GCCACTATGACGAGAAACAGCAGATTTCAACTTTAAT
H10,fl A11,flatv-h18-b207,TCAGAACCTAGCAAGGCCGGAAACAATGAAATAGCAATAG B11,flatv-h20-b207,TAACACTGTTGATATAAGTATAGCCGCCACCC
C11,flatv-h22-b207,GGGTAAAACGAAAGACAGCATCGGATGTACCG
D11,flatv-h16-b231,AATAAGAGCAAGAAACGTCACCAATGAAACCACCGCCTCC E11,flatv-h18-b223,CTCAGAGCCCGGAATAGGTGTATCAGCCCAAT F11,flatv-h20-b223,AGGAACCCAACGAGGGTAGCAACGTTCATGAGGAAGTTTC G11,flatv-h18-b239,ACCGGAATCGATAGCAGCACCGTGAGTTAAGCCCAAT H11,flatv-h20-b239,GATAGCAACCGTACTCAGGAGGGAGCCACC A12,flatv-h16-b263,GATAACCCACAAGAATTAATCAGTAGCGACAGAAAATCAC B12,flatv-h18-b255,CGGAACCATTTAGTACCGCCACCCAGAGCCACCACCCTCA C12,flatv-h18-b271,TCATAATCAATCAAGTTTGCCTTTGCGCTAATATCAGAGA D12,flatv-h16-b287,TAATTGAAGCGTCAGACTGTAGCCTTATTAGCGTTTG

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO

edge staples

arm 1

- plate flat-v-edges-bridges A1,flatv-h1-b8-II,CAAATATTTAAATTGGGAAGATTGTATAAG B1,flatv-h3-b8-II,CATCGTAACCGTGCATGGTGTAGATGGGCG C1,flatv-h5-b8-II,CAGGTCGACTCTAGAAAGCTTGCATGCCTG D1,flatv-h7-b8-II,CAGGCGAAAATCCTGGCTGGTTTGCCCCAG E1,flatv-h9-b8-II,CAGATTCACCAGTCAGATTATTTACATTGG
- F1,flatv-h11-b8-II,CCCGAACGTTATTAAGTATTAAATCCTTTG
- G1,flatv-h13-b8-II,CTGAGAAGAGTCAATGCTTAGATTAAGACG H1,flatv-h15-b8-II,CCGTTTTTATTTTCATCGAGAACAAGCAAG

arm 2

A2,flatv-h17-b8-II,CAAAGACACCACGGAAACATATAAAAGAAACG B2,flatv-h19-b8-II,CTTTTGATGATACAGGTAAGCGTCATACATGG C2,flatv-h21-b8-II,CGAATAATAATTTTTTACAACTAAAGGAATTG D2,flatv-h23-b8-II,CAGACGGTCAATCATATAGCCGGAACGAGGCG E2,Flatv-h25-b8-II,CCAAAAGGAATTACGAATGCAGATACATAACG F2,flatv-h27-b8-II,CAAACTCCAACAGGTCCGAACCAGACCGGAAG G2,flatv-h29-b8-II,CATTAACATCCAATAACTACTAATAGTAGTAG H2,flatv-h31-b8-II,CCGGAGACAGTCAAATAAAAGGGTGAGAAAGG

bridge staples

strands adjacent to bridges

- plate flat-v-edges-bridges A5,flatv-h0-b92,CGGTAAATAGGAACGCCATCACAGCTTTCATCAACATCCGGCACC
- B5,flatv-h3-b112,AACCAGGCTGGCCTTCCTGTAGCAAAAT
- C5,flatv-h5-b144,TGGGGTGCGTTGGGAAGGGCGATCTTCAG
- D5,flatv-h7-b176,CGATGGCCTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGACTCA
-
- E5,flatv-h9-b208,CAGAGGTGTTTGGGGTCGAGGTGACCCA F5,flatv-h11-b240,TCAGGTTTGCCACGCTGAGAGCCACACCG
- G5,flatv-h13-b272,AAAAGCCTTTTACATCGGGAGAAATACAG
- H5,flatv-h15-b288,CGATTTTTTGTTTAACGTCAAAAACCAGTATAAAGCCAGTTAT
- A6,flatv-h18-b300,AGCCCCGCGTTTTCATCGGCAAACACCCTGAACAAAGTCAGAGGG
- B6,flatv-h20-b268,CCCTCTCAGAACCGCCACCCTCCATCTTT C6,flatv-h22-b236,ACTTTGCTACAGAGGCTTTGTTTTCAGG
- D6,flatv-h24-b204,GGTTTGAACGAGTAGTAAATTCATTAAAC
- E6,flatv-h26-b172,ACAGTTCATTGAATCCCCCTCCATTGTGA
- F6,flatv-h28-b140,CCAATTTTCATTCCATATAAACCATAAA
-
- G6,flatv-h30-b108,TTTATCCCTGTAATACTTTTGTTTAGTTT H6,flatv-h28-b95,TCGCAAACCAAAAACATTATGATTCAACGCAAGGATACTGAG

bridges

- A7,flatv-h31-b93,AGTCTGGAGCGAATCGATGAA
- B7, flatv-h1-b109, AATTCGCGTCCGGGAGAAGCC
- C7,flatv-h3-b141,GCTGCGCAACTCAGTTGATTC D7,flatv-h5-b173,CATTAATTGCGAAATGCTTTAA
-
- E7,flatv-h7-b205,AATCAAGTTTGGGCTTGAGAT F7,flatv-h9-b237,CCTGCAACAGTAGGACTAAAG
-
- G7,flatv-h11-b269,TAACAGTACCTCAGAACCGCCA H7,flatv-h13-b301,ACAAATTCTTTTTTCGGTCAT
- A8,flatv-h15-b333,GAGAGAATAACAGGGAAGCGC

strands at corner

B8,flatv-h15-b312,ATGAAAATAGCAGCCTTTACA C8,flatv-h16-b332,ATTAGACGGGAGAATTAACTG

Sequence diagram for 60° corner origami with straight edges

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070 **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO**

85.9. 60° corner origami with edge shapes

plate shape-U-top-core (arm 1) A1, shapeU-h1-b64,CGAACTGAGTGAATTACCTTATGCAGGACGTTGGGAAGAA
B1, shapeU-h13-b64,TGCCAGCTCTTGCCTGAGTAGAAGCCAGAACAATATTACC C1, shapeU-h1-b80,GAAAGAGGTGTCGAAATCCGCGACCTGCTCCATGTTACTTAAGGGAAC D1, shapeU-h15-b72,GCCAGCCATTGCAACAATTAGTAATAACATCAGCATTAAT A2, shapeU- h1-b96,AACGGTGTTTGAGATGGTTTAATAACGAAC B2, shapeU-h3-b96,TAACGGAATCATAAATATTCATTAAACGAG
C2, shapeU-h5-b96,AATGACCACATTCCATATAACAGGTTTGAC D2, shapeU-h7-b96,CATTAGATTGTAGGTAAAGATTCCACCATC
E2, shapeU-h9-b96,AATATGATAACCCGTCGGATTCTGGATAGG F2, shapeU-h11-b96,TCACGTTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCAACGCG
G2, shapeU-h13-b96,CGGGGAGAAGCAATACTTCTTTGGGAAAAACGCTCATG A3, shapeU-h1-b112,GGCGCATAACGGAGATTTGTATCATCGCCTGATAAATTGACAGATG B3, shapeU-h3-b112,TTACAGGTCGTAACAAAATTGGGCACAGACCA
C3, shapeU-h5-b112,AAATCAGGAAAACCAACGGAATCGCAACATTA D3, shapeU-h7-b112, CAAATGGTGGATGGCTGGAAGTTTTAAATCAA
E3, shapeU-h9-b112,GTTCTAGCTTTAAATGGAGTAATGACATTTCG F3, shapeU-h11-b112,GGGCGCATATCAACATCGAGTAACATTCAACC G3, shapeU- h13-b112,GCGTATTGCAATTCCAATAAAGTGGTGTAGAT
H3, shapeU- h15-b104,GAAATACCATTTTGACCTAGGGCGACCGTTGTGGCGGTTT A4, shapeU-h3-b128,TCATCAGTTTACCAGACGACGATATCTTTACC
B4, shapeU-h5-b128,CTGACTATAAGAGGTCATTTTGCCAATAACC C4, shapeU-h7-b128,TGTTTAGCTAGAACCCTCATATATTGATAAAT
D4, shapeU-h9-b128,TAATGCCGCCTGTAGCCAGCTTTCCGTAACCG E4, shapeU- h11-b128,TGCATCTGAATTGTTATCCGCTCAGGCGCCAG
F4, shapeU- h13-b128,GGTGGTTTGCGAAAGGAGCGGGCGGCTCAATCGTCTGAAA A5, shapeU-h5-b144,AGAAGCAATATCATAACCCTCGTTGAGATT
B5, shapeU-h7-b144,TCATTTGGATTGCTCCTTTTGATTATAGTC C5, shapeU-h9-b144,TAGCTATTAAGGATAAAAATTTTTATATTT
D5, shapeU-h11-b144,GAGGGGACTTCGCGTCTGTGGCTTGAGAGGG
E5, shapeU-h13-b144,CACCAGTGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGACCAGTTT F5, shapeU-h15-b136,TGGATTATTTACATTAAGGAAGGGAAGAAATTCTTTT
A6, shapeU-h3-b152,CCACATTCAACTAATGATAGTAAGAGCAACACAGCGGATT B6, shapeU-h5-b160,GCATCAAATTAGAGAGTACCTTTAGGCGCGAG
C6, shapeU-h7-b160,CTGAAAAGGCCTTTATTTCAACGCTTTGAGAG D6, shapeU-h9-b160,ATCTACAAACGCCATCAAAAATAAGACGACAG E6, shapeU-h11-b160,TATCGGCCGTAATCATGGTCATAGAGACGGGC
F6, shapeU-h13-b160,AACAGCTGGGCGAACGTGGCGAGAGGCAGATTCACCAGTC A7, shapeU-h7-b176,AATTCTACCTCCAACAGGTCAGGAAAGATTAA
B7, shapeU-h9-b176,AGGTCATTTACTTTTGCGGGAGAAGTGGCATC C7, shapeU-h11-b176,ATCGCACTTTTTAACCAATAGGAAGGCTATC
D7, shapeU-h13-b176,TCACGGCCTACCGAGCTGAATTCTGAGGAAG
E7, shapeU-h15-b168,ACACGACCAGTAATAACTTGACGGGAAAGCCATTGCCCT A8, shapeU-h5-b184,CCGAAAGACTTCAAACAGACCGGAAGCAAATAATAGT
B8, shapeU-h7-b192,AGTAGCATATTATGACCCTGTAAGCCTGAG C8, shapeU-h9-b192,AGTCTGGAGTTAAATCAGCTCATCCAGCCA
D8, shapeU-h11-b192,GCTTTCCGTAGAGGATCCCCGGGTGGCCCT E8, **shapeU-** h13-b192, GAGAGAGTGCCCCCGATTTAGAGAAGGGACATTCTGGC
A9, **shapeU-** h9-b208, GAGAATCGCGGTTGTACCAAAAACTAACATCC B9, shapeU-h11-b208,TCTGGTGCTTCGCATTAAATTTTGCAAACAA
C9, shapeU-h13-b208,GCGGTCCAGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCGCACCGCT D9, shapeU-h15-b200, CAACAGAGATAGAACCCGGAACCCTAAAGGGATGCAGCAA E9, **shapeU-**h7-b216,ATACAGGCAAGGCAAAGAGCATAAAGCTAAATATGAACGG
F9, **shapeU-**h9-b224,TAATCGTATAATATTTTGTTAAAACGGAAACC G9, shapeU- h11-b224,AGGCAAAGAGTGCCAAGCTTGCATCGCTGGTT
H9, shapeU- h13-b224,TGCCCCAGCCGTAAAGCACTAAATCTTCTGACCTGAAAGC A10, shapeU-h11-b240,CGCCATTCTTAAATTGTAAACGTAAACTAG
B10, shapeU-h13-b240,AAATCCTGTGTAAAACGACGGCCCGCATT C10, shapeU-h15-b232, GTAAGAATACGTGGCTTTGGGGTCGAGGTGCAGGCGA D10, shapeU-h9-b248,TCATATGTACCCCGGTTTGTATAAGCAAATATAGGCTGCG
E10, shapeU-h11-b256,CAACTGTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTTTGATGG F10, shapeU-h13-b256,TGGTTCCGCACCCAAATCAAGTTTACAGACAATATTTTTG
G10, shapeU-h13-b272,AAAATCCCGGGTAACGCCAGGGTTGGGAAGGG H10, shapeU-h15-b264,AATGGCTATTAGTCTTCCACTACGTGAACCATAAATCGGC
A11, shapeU-h11-b280,GCGGGCCTCTTCGCTCAAGGCGATTAAGTTTTATAAA B11, shapeU-h13-b288, TCAAAAGATATCAGGGCGATGGCTAATGCGCGAACTGA C11, shapeU-h15-b296,TAGCCCTAAAACATCGAGGGCGAAAAACCGTCATAGCCCG
D11, shapeU-h13-b312,TTGAGTGTTGTTCCAGTGGACTCCAACGTCAACCATTAAA shapeU- shapeU- shapeU shapeU- shapeU- shapeU-

plate shapeU-bottom-core (arm 2) A1, shapeU-h20-b39,CGAACCTCCCGACTTGTTGCTATTTTGCACTACATAAA
B1, shapeU-h22-b31,GGTGGCATAAGTTTATTTTGTCCCACCAGA ${\tt Cl.~shape U-h24-b11}, {\tt AcCACCAAGCAGCAGACTCAAACGAA4GAGGTTAGA1}, {\tt shape U-h24-b47}, {\tt CAGACCCACACTTGAGCAAATACACACCCT E1}, {\tt shape U-h26-b47}, {\tt CCGTACTCTGGACCTTGATATTCAACACCCT} E2, {\tt shape U-h16-b63}, {\tt AATCCAATAATATTTCTATATACCGCTA} E2, {\tt shape U-h16-b63}, {\tt AATCCAATAATATTTCTAATATACCGGTA}$ C2, shapeU-h20-b63,TTCTAAGACCTGAATCTTACCAACTACGCAGT
D2, shapeU-h22-b63,ATGTTAGCTCATATGGTTTACCAGCGCCACCC E2, shapeU-h24-b63,TCAGAGCCCAAACAAATAAATCCTGTATAGCC
F2, shapeU-h26-b63,CGGAATAGGATAGCAAGCCCAATATTTTTCA G2, shapeU-h28-b63,CGTTGAAATAATTGTATCGGTTTACATCGGAA
H2, shapeU-h30-b63,CGAGGGTACTTTTTCATGAGGAGTTTACATTAAACGGGAGCAGCGA
A3, shapeU-h18-b79,GTAAATGGATGGCAATTCATCATTGAGTAACATTATC B3, shapeU-h20-b79,AAGGCTTTTTCATCTTCTGACCAACTATAT
C3, shapeU-h22-b79,TCCTTATGCTAACGAGCGTCTTAGATATAG D3, shapeU-h24-b79,TCAGAACCGCCAAAGACAAAAGATTAAGAC
E3, shapeU-h26-b79,GATATAACATTAAAGCCAGAATCGCCACC
F3, shapeU-h28-b79,ATAATAAGGAACCCATGTACCGAGAGGGTT G3, shapeU- h30-b79,AAGACAGTCAGCTTGCTTTCGAAATTGCGA
A4, shapeU- h16-b103,TATTAATTTTAAAAGTATATAATCCTGATTGTTTAGGTTG B4, shapeU-h18-b95,GGTTATATTAAATTTAATGGTTTGCAATAGCA
C4, shapeU-h20-b95,AGCAAATCTCCAGAGCCTAATTTGCAAAAGAA D4, shapeU-h22-b95,CTGGCATGGGCGACATTCAACCGACCGCCTCC
E4, shapeU-h24-b95,CTCAGAGCGGAAAGCGCAGTCTCTCGGATAAG F4, shapeU-h26-b95,TGCCGTCGTAACACTGAGTTTCGTAAGGAACA
G4, shapeU-h28-b95,ACTAAAGGGGTGAATTTCTTAAACCGGGATCG H4, shapeU-h30-b95,TCACCCTCTAAAATACGTAATGCCACTACGAACTCATCTTTTAAAGGC
A5, shapeU-h18-b111,CCTCCGGCTTGGATTATAGAACCTTCCTTTGCCCGAACGT
B5, shapeU-h20-b111,ACCGCGCCAAATACCGTATGCGTTCTTTTRA C5, shapeU-h22-b111,GGAATACCCCAGTTACTAAACAGCGAATCATT
D5, shapeU-h24-b111,CACCGGAATTGAGGGAATTCATTAATAATAAC E5, shapeU-h26-b111, GTACCAGGGAATTTACTTCCAGTAAGAGCCAC F5, shapeU-h28-b111, AGAATAGACACCAGTAACTACAACTTTGCTCA G5, shapeU-h30-b111, CGCTTTTGAGCTTGATTGCGCCGAGCGGAGTG A6, shapeU- h16-b135,ACAACTCGTATTAAAACCATATCAAAATTAGGTCTGAG
B6, shapeU- h18-b127,AGACTACATACAAATTCTTACCTTATTTTC C6, shapeU-h20-b127,ATCGTAGCATATTATTTATCCCAACCGAGG
D6, shapeU-h22-b127,AAACGCAAAGGTGAATTATCACAAAATCAC E6, shapeU-h24-b127, CGGAACCAGCGTCATACATGGCTAGGATTA
F6, shapeU-h26-b127,GCGGGGTGCCTGTAGCATTCCACTTTCAAC A7, shapeU-h18-b143,AAATCATATTTGCACGTAAAACAGCTTTACAAACAATTCG B7, shapeU-h20-b143,AGCCGTTTAGTATAAAGCCAACGCATTTATCA
C7, shapeU-h22-b143,CAGAAGGAAATCCAAATAAGAAACAACAAGCA D7, shapeU-h24-b143,TCATAATCCGTCACCGACTTGAGCAAAGTTAC
E7, shapeU-h26-b143,AGAAGGATTTTTGATGATACAGGACCATCTT F7, shapeU-h28-b143,CTAAACAACAGACAGCCCTCATAGTCCTCAAG
A8, shapeU-h16-b167,ATTTAGAAGTATTAGAAAATAAAGAAATTGCGGAAGAGTC B8, shapeU-h18-b159,AATAGTGATCAACAGTAGGGCTTATACCGCAC
C8, shapeU-h20-b159,TCATCGAGGATTTTTGTTTAACGAAGCAGAT D8, shapeU-h22-b159,AGCCGAACCATTTGGGAATTAGAGCCCTTATT
E8, shapeU-h24-b159,AGCGTTTGGTGTACTGGTAATAAGATTAAGAG F8, shapeU-h26-b159, GCTGAGACTTAGCGTAACGATCTAATGAATTTTCTGTATG A9, shapeU-h18-b175,ACGCTGATAGATTTTCAGGTTTATAATACATTTGAGG
B9, shapeU-h20-b175,AACCAAGATTGAGAATCGCCATAGATTAAG C9, shapeU-h22-b175,GAAAAGTTCAAAAATGAAAATAGGGTATTA
D9, shapeU-h24-b175,CATAGCCCCAGCAAAATCACCACTTTTTAA E9, shapeU-h26-b175, TGAAAGTTTTTAACGGGGTCAGTTTTCGGT G9, shapeU-h16-b295,CTCAATCAATATCTGGCGCAGAGGCGAATTATTAATGGAA
H9, shapeU-h18-b287,ACAGTACACAGACGACGACAATAATTTATCAACAATAGAT A10, shapeU- h16-b199, TTAGAGCCGTCAATAGAACGTCAGATGAATATAACATAGC
B10, shapeU- h18-b191,GATAGCTTATTTAACAACGCCAACTTATCATT C10, shapeU-h20-b191, CCAAGAACGCAGCCTTTACAGAGACTATCTTA
D10, shapeU-h22-b191, CCGAAGCCGTAGCACCATTACCATCGCGTTT E10, shapeU-h24-b191, CATCGGCATGCCTTGAGTAACAGTTTCGGAACCTATTATT G10, shapeU- h18-b303,ACCTTTTTCATTTCAATTACCTGCCTCAAATATCAAACC
H10, shapeU- h16-b319,TGCTGAAAGCAAAAGAAGATGACATTTAACAATTTCA A11, shapeU-h18-b207,TCCTTGAAACAGTAACAGTACCTTACTAACAACTAATAGA
B11, shapeU-h20-b207,GTCTTTCCATGTAATTTAGGCAGACCTTAGAA C11, shapeU-h22-b207,AGCAATAGGAATAACATAAAAACAAATCGGCT
D11, shapeU-h24-b207,GACTGTAGTAGCAAGGCCGGAAACAATGAAAT E11, shapeU-h16-b231,AATATCTTTAGGAGCTTACATCGGGAGAAATATTAATT
F11, shapeU-h18-b223,AATTTTCGGCATTTTCGAGCCATAGAAACC G11, shapeU- h20-b223,AATCAATGGGAAGCGCATTAGAATAAGAGC
H11, shapeU- h22-b223,AAGAAACGTCACCAATGAACCAATCAAGTTTGCCTT
A12, shapeU- h18-b239,ATCGTCGCCAATAACGGATTCGCCGAGGAAGGTTATCTAA B12, shapeU-h20-b239,CGAGCATGGTAATAAGAGAATATATTCTGTAA
C12, shapeU-h22-b239,GCCCAATACGGGAGAATTAACTGACTAATTTA D12, shapeU- h16-b263,ACAGTTGAAAGGAATTTGATTGCTTTGAATACGAGTGAAT
E12, shapeU- h18-b255,AACCTTGCAAGTACCGACAAAAGGAAAATAAT F12, shapeU- h20-b255,ATCCCATCACACCCTGAACAAAGTGATAACCCACAAGAAT
G12, shapeU- h18-b271,TATATGTCAAGTTACAAAATCGTCAGTTGGCAAATCA H12, shapeU-h20-b271, AACAAGATAAAGTAATTCTGTCTAAATCAA shapeU- shapeU- shapeU- shapeU- shapeU shapeU- shapeU- shapeU shapeU- shapeU- shapeU shapeU- shapeU- shapeU shapeU- shapeU- shapeU-

DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070
 DOI: 10.1038/NCHEM.1070

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIO

edge staples edge is a staple of the sta

strands adjacent to bridges

-
- plate shape-U-bridges A1,shapeU-h0-b124,GTACAGGCTGGCTGACCTTCATCATTACCCAAATCAAAGAAAGAT
- B1,shapeU-h3-b144,TAGGAATAACAAGAACCGGATATTCAAG
C1,shapeU-h5-b176,GAGGAAGCAAAGGAATTACGAGGCCAGAT
- D1,shapeU-h7-b208,AATAAATCGAGCTTCAAAGCGAACTATCG
- E1,shapeU-h9-b240,CATGTCAAAGCAATAAAGCCTCAGAATT
-
- F1, shapeU-h11-b272, CGATCGGTCCCAAAAACAGGAAGATGATA
G1, shapeU-h13-b304, AGATAGGGAAAGGGGGATGTGCTGATTAC
- H1,shapeU-h15-b320,AATACCGAACGAACCACCAGCAGCACTATTAAAGAACGTTTGG
- A2 , shapeU-h18-b332 , AATTATGAAACAAACATCAAGAATGAAAAATCTAAAGCATCACCT
B2 , shapeU-h20-b300 , GCCTGACAACATGTTCAGCTATTTGAATT
-
- C2,shapeU-h22-b268,AGAGACAGAGGGTAATTGAGAAGTCCTG
D2,shapeU-h24-b236,GACAGATCGATAGCAGCACCGTGAGTTAA
-
- E2,shapeU-h26-b204,CCTATGCCCGTATAAACAGTTTAGCGTCA
F2,shapeU-h28-b172,AGTAAAAGTTTTGTCGTCTTCTGAAACA
-
- G2,shapeU-h30-b140,TCGCTTCGCCCACGCATAACCGGATTTTG H2,shapeU-h28-b127,AGTTTCACAATGACAACAACCAGAGGCTTGCAGGGAGTGACC

bridges

-
- A3,shapeU-h31-b125,CCCAGCGATTCGCGAAACAAA
B3,shapeU-h1-b141,AGTAATCTTGGATATATTCGG
-
- C3,shapeU-h5-b173,ACATAACGCCATCCAGACGTT
D3,shapeU-h5-b205,CGTTTTAATTCAATGCCCCTG
E3,shapeU-h7-b237,AGCAAAATTATAATCAGTAGC
F3,shapeU-h11-b301,GCCAGCTGGCGATGCAAACGC
G3,shapeU-h11-b301,GCCAGCTGGCGATGCAGAACG
-
-
- H3 , shapeU-h13-b333 , AACAAGAGTCAAAACAAAATT
A4 , shapeU-h15-b365 , GGTCAGTATTATGCAACAGTG
-

strands at corner

B4, shapeU-h15-b344, AAGATAAAACAGAGGTGAGGC C4,shapeU-h16-b364,CCACGCTGAGAGCCAGCAGCA

arm 1

arm 2

-
- A3 , shapeU-h17-b40-II, CGGAATTATCATCATAAAACCACCAGAAGGAG
B3 , shapeU-h19-b40-II, CGAGAAAACTTTTTCACGCAAGACAAAGAACG
- C3 , shapeU-h22-b47-II , AAAATACACCAGCTACAATTTTATACGCGAGGCGTTTTAG
D3 , shapeU-h21-b8-II , CCTTAAATCAAGATTAGCGGGAGGTTTTGAAG
-
- E3,shapeU-h23-b8-II,CAAAGACACCACGGAAACATATAAAAGAAACG
F3,shapeU-h25-b8-II,CATTGACAGGAGGTTGCCAGAGCCGCCGCCAG
-
- G3 , shapeU-h27-b8-II , CCACCCTCAGAACCGCGCCACCCTCAGAACCG
H3 , shapeU-h26-b31-II , TAGTACCCACCCTCAGAGCCACCACCCTCATTTTCAGGGTGTATCA
- A4 , shapeU-h29-b40-II, CTCCAAAAGGAGCCTTATCTCCAAAAAAAAGG
B4 , shapeU-h31-b40-II, CTTTGAGGACTAAAGAGCAACGGCTACAGAGG
-

85.10. 60° corner origami with edge shapes and reversed stacking polarity

plate shape-flip-bottom-core (arm2) continued....
A12,s^{hflip}- h18-b287,GCAATAATTAGAGCCAGCAAAATCCCTTTAGC
B12,^{shflip-}h20-b287,GTCAGACTCTCTGAATTTACCGTTTACAGGAGTGTACTGG C12, ^{shitip}- h18-b303,GGAAACCGGGTAATTGAGCGCTAAGCGTAAGAATACG
D12, ^{shitip}- h20-b303,AAGTTTGACCAGTAGCACCATTTACCAGAA
E12, ^{shiti}p- h16-b327,AACCCTTCTGACCTGAAATATCAGAGAGATAAGATAGCCG F12, shflip- h18-b319, AACAAAGTACCATTAGCAAGGCCGACCGTAATCAGTAGCG
G12, shflip- h18-b335, AGTAAGCACCCACAAGAATTGAGTTGGCCAACAGAGATAG H12, shflip-h16-b351, GACATTCTAAGCCCAATAATAATTACCGAAGCCCTTT shflip- shflip- shflip-

plate shape-flip-top-core (arm1)

A1,90000-h1-b96,ATCATAAGCGAGAAACACCAGAACTTTCAACTTTAATCAT
B1,9⁶¹⁰00-h13-b96,AGCTGTTTCGGAACCCTAAAGGGACGGCGAACGTGGCGAG
C1,⁹⁶¹⁰⁰0-h1-b112,ACTGACCAGCCTGATAAATTGTGTCGAAATCCGCGACCTGGACGGTCA D1, ^{smilip-}h15-b104,AAAGGAAGGGAAGAACCGTAAAGCGTAAATCCTGTGTG
A2, ^{shfilip-}h1-b128,AAGAGGAACCTGCTCATTCAGTGACGATTTT
B2, shfilip-h3-b128,AAGAACTGGTTTACCAGACGACGAAGAAGT
C2, shfilip-h5-b128,TTTGCCAGATTCGAGCTTCAAAGAGGATTA
D2, s F2,9^{nt|lip.}h11-b128,TCATTTTTCGACGGCCAGTGCCAATCCGCT
G2,9^{htflip.}h13-b128,CACAATTCTTTGGGGATCAGGTGGCGAAAGGAGGGGGC
A3,9h^{tflip.}h1-b144,GGTGTACAGCGCGAAAGTACAACGGAGATTTGTATCATCACTTTGA B3, shflip-h3-b144, TACCAGTCTTACCCAATAACAAAGAGATGAAC
C3, shflip-h5-b144, ATAGTAAAAAAGGAATTAACCCTCGCTCATTA D3, snriip- h7-b144, GCTCCTTTTCAAATATGCGTTTTAAGGGGGTA
E3, ^{shfii}ip- h9-b144, CTTTTGCGTAGTAGTAAATTAGCACTTTAATT
F3, shfiip- h11-b144, AGGAACGCCAAAAACAATATTTAACTGTAATA G3,50000- h13-b144,ATACGAGCAGGGTTTTTGTAAAATAACCAAT
H3,90000- h15-b136,GCTAGGGCCGATTAAACTACGTGTGAGTTTCACACAAC
A4,9000- h3-b160,GGGAAGAACAGATACATAACGCCAATGTTTAG B4,8^{httip}-h5-b160,ACTGGATAGGAAGCCCGAAAGACTTGATAAGA
C4,8httip-h7-b160,ACTGGATTTGCATCATCATCTACTAGGAGAAGC
D4,9httip-h9-b160,GTTTATTTATAATCAGAAAAGCCCCATCAAAA
E4,9httip-h11-b160,ATAATTCGTAAGTTGGGTAACGCCCGGGAAGCA
F4,9httip-h15 B5, shflip-h7-b176,TGGCTTAGTCAAAAAGATTAAGAGCGTCCA
C5, shflip-h9-b176,AGGATAAACGAGCTGAAAAGGTGTTGCGGA D5,^{sminp-}h11-b176,CCTTCCTGTATGTACCCCGGTTGCAACGCA
E5,s^{hifip-}h13-b176,GGGGTGCCGTGCCAGAATGGAAAAACCGTCTGG
F5,^{shifip-}h15-b168,ACGGTACGCCAGAATGGCGAAAAACCGTCTAAAGCCT A6,9^{milip}-h3-b184,AAACGAACTAACGGAATGAGATTTAGGAATACGAATCGTC
B6,^{9hflip-}h5-b192,ATAAATATAGCAAAGCGGATTGCAAGCTTAAT
C6,^{9hflip-}h7-b192,GTACCTGAATATTTCATTTGGGGCGAATTTTTA
D6,9^{hflip-}h11-b192,TTTCATCACTGGCGAAAGGGGGATTAATGAGT
 F6,8mmP: h13-b192,GAGCTAACGACTCCAACGTCAAAGCCTGAGAAGTGTTTTT
A7,ehflip: h7-b208,GTAGCTCAGACTATTATAGCTGAGATCATTGAA
B7,9hflip: h9-b208,TAAATGCATAACCTGTTTAGCTATATAATGCT C7,5^{ntiip}-h11-b208,TGTGAGCGGAACGGTAATCGTAAAATATATTT
D7,5^{ntiip-}h13-b208,ATTGCGTTTTCGCTATTACGCCAACACATAAA
E7,^{5ntiip-}h15-b200,ATAATCAGTGAGGCCAACTATTAAAGAACGTGTCACATTA A8,9^{milip}-h5-b216,AAATGCTTTAAACAGTCAGGTCTTTACCCTACATGTT
B8,^{9hflip-}h7-b224,TTAAATATTTCGCAAATGGTCAAATGCCTG
C8,^{9hflip-}h1-b224,AGCCGTCGATCGAAGAGAATCGATAGTAACA
D8,9hflip-h13-b224,CTGCCCGCTTGGAACAAGAGTCCCCGAGTAAAAGAGTC
E8, A9, h9-b240,AGATTCAATGACCATTAGATACATGCAACTAA B9, h11-b240,GTGGGAACCTGAGAGTCTGGAGCAGTAGGTAA C9, h13-b240,CGGGAAACACTGTTGGGAAGGGCGGATTCTCC D9, h15-b232,TGTCCATCACGCAAATTGAGTGTTGTTCCAGTTTTCCAGT E9, h7-b248,GTCTGGAAGTTTCATTACGAGTAGATTTAGTTAAGGGTGA F9, h9-b256,GAAAGGCCGCTATCAGGTCATTGCAAACGGCG G9, h11-b256,GATTGACCCCATTCAGGCTGCGCACTGTCGTG H9, h13-b256,CCAGCTGCTAGCCCGAGATAGGGTTAACCGTTGTAGCAAT A10 h15-b264,ACTTCTTTGATTAGTTATAAATCAAAAGAAATTAATG B10, h11-b272,GATAGGTCGAGAGATCTACAAAGGGAGACA C10, h13-b272,AATCGGCCGCAAAGCGCCATTCGGTAATGG D10,^{8Milp-}h9-b280,ACCATCAATATGATATGAGGGTAGCTATTTTTACGTTGGT
E10,^{shflip-}h11-b288,GTAGATGGTGGTGCCGGAAACCAGAACGCGCG
F10,^{shflip-}h13-b288,GGGAGAGGAATCGGCAAAATCCCTAATAACATCACTTGCC
G10,^{shflip-}h13-b296,TGAGTAGGAGAACTCATTGAT A11, shflip-h11-b312, CATCTGCCAGTTTGACGCACTCCAGCCAGCCGCCAGG B11, shflip- h13-b320, GTGGTTTTAGGCGAAAATCCTGTAACTATCGGCCTTGC
C11, shflip- h15-b328, TGGTAATATCCAGAACGCTGGTTTGCCCCAGCTCTTTTCA D11, shflip-h13-b344, ACGGGCAACAGCTGATGCAGCAAGCGGTCCACAATATTAC shflip- shflip- shflip- D15http://www.acondoc.com/www.acondoc.com/www.acode.com/www.acode.com/www.acode.com/ | shflip-
| shflip-
| shflip-
| shflip shflip- shflip- shflip- _{},}shflip-
_{},}shflip-
,shflip-_{},}shflip-
},shflip-
| shflip shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- _{5,}shflip-
, shflip-
, shflip-_{i,}shflip-
_{i,}shflip-
_{},}shflip-
},shflip shflip- shflip- shflip shflip- shflip- shflip- | shflip-
| shflip-
| shflip-
| shflip-| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| shflip-|
| o shflip-|
| o shflip-)_,shflip-
_{|,}shflip-
_{|,}shflip-
_{|,}shflip-

edge staples

arm 1

- A1, shflip-h1-b72, CCGGAACGAGGCGCACTCCATGTTACTTAG
- B1, shflip-h3-b72,CTTGAGATGGTTTAAGAGTAGTAAATTGGG
C1, shflip-h3-b104,TGTGAATTACCTTATGATAAGGCTTGCCCTGAGGAACCGA
-
- D1, _{Shflip}-h5-b104,CGAGAGGCTTTTGCAAATAAAAACCAAAATAG
E1, _{Shflip}-h7-b104,CAAACTCCAACAGGTCCGAACCAGACCGGAAG
- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip-
- F1, shf1ip-h9-b104,CTAAATCGGTTGTACCGCCTCAGAGCATAAAG
G1, shf1ip-h11-b104,CATTAAATTTTGTTAATTTGAATTGTAAATTCG
H1, shf1ip-h13-b112,AAATTGTTAGCTTGCATGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGAATGGTCAT
-
- A2, _{Shflip}-h13-b72,CTCGAATTCGTAATCTCCCCGGGTACCGAG
B2, _{Shflip}-h15-b72,CTTGACGGGGAAAGCGCCCCCGATTTAGAG

arm 2

-
- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip-
- A3, shf1ip-h33-b56, CTATTTGCACCCAGCAAATCAAGATTAGTTG
B3, shf1ip-h17-b56, CAAATCAGATATAGAAGCGCCCAATAGCAAG
C3, shf1ip-h20-b47, AATATCGATATAGAACGCCCTATCCTTATCATTCCAAAGATAAGT
D3, shf1ip-h21-b24, CATGTAGAAACCAATCCATCCTTATCCTTATC
-
-
-
-
- B4, shflip-h29-b56,CGTAGATTTTCAGGTTAGAAATAAAGAAATTG
- F2, shf1ip-h28-b159,GAACGAGAAGACTTTTCATGATGCCACTACGAAGGCACTAA
G2, shf1ip-h30-b172,CGTAAGGAAGTTTCCATTAAACCTCAGA
H2, shf1ip-h28-b204,CCGCTGCTGAGGCTTGCAGGAAAAAAAG shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip-

bridges

bridge staples

whilip-

shflip-

shflip-

strands adjacent to bridges

- A3, shflip-h31-b157, AACACTCATCCCAGCGATTAT
-
-

D2, _{Shflip}-n24-b268,CTCATAGAACCGCCACCCTCATAATAAGT
E2, _{Shflip}-n26-b236,TTTTCCAACTAAAGGAATTGCGCCCAATA

-
- B3, shflip-h1-b173, GACCTTCATCGGGTAAAATA
C3, shflip-h5-b205, TATTACAGGTGAATAAAG
D3, shflip-h5-b237, AAAACGAGAATGAATAATAATT
D3, shflip-h7-b269, TAACAGTTGAGACCACCAC
F3, shflip-h9-b301, CGTTCTAGCTGGAAACCATCG
C3, shflip-h11-b3 shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip- shflip-
-
-

strands at corner

B4, shflip-h15-b376,ACGCTCATGGAAATACCTACA
C4, shflip-h16-b396,ATTTACATTGGCAGATTCACC

NATURE CHEMISTRY | www.nature.com/naturechemistry **60**

plate shape-flip-bridges

Al, shflip-h
3-bridges

Al, shflip-h3-b176, CCTARGACCAGGCGCATAGGCARGACCGGATATTCAAGGACGTT

B1, shflip-h3-b176, CGTTAATAAAGAGTAATCTTGACTGACA

C1, shflip-h5-b208, TCCCCTCAGAAAGTATCATCATCACACA

D1

